Finite Element Analysis of Membrane Structures

Robert L. Taylor[*1], Eugenio Oñate[2] and Pere-Andreu Ubach[2]

[1] Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering
University of California at Berkeley, USA
`rlt@ce.vulture.berkeley.edu`
[2] International Center for Numerical Methods in Engineering
Edificio C1, Gran Capitán s/n
08034 Barcelona - Spain
`onate@cimne.upc.es`

Summary. *This paper summarizes the development for a large displacement formulation of a membrance composed of three-node triangular elements. A formulation in terms of the deformation gradient is first constructed in terms of nodal variables. In particular, the use of the right Cauchy-Green deformation tensor is shown to lead to a particulary simple representation in terms of nodal quantities. This may then be used to construct general models for use in static and transient analyses.*

Key words: Membrane structures, finite element

1 Introduction

The behavior of curved, thin bodies can be modeled by a membrane theory of shells. In such a theory only the in-plane stress resultants are included. The deformation state for a membrane may be represented by the position of points on the two-dimensional surface. General theories for shells may be specialized to those for a membrane by ignoring the resultant couples and associated changes in curvature deformations as well as any transverse shearing effects. A numerical approximation to the shell may then be constructed using a finite element approach. Examples for general shell theory and finite element solution may be found for small deformations in standard books.[1] Theory for large deformation can proceed following the presentations of Simo *et al.*[2, 3, 4, 5] or Ramm et al.[6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]

For the simplest shape finite element composed of a 3-node triangular form with displacement parameters at each vertex (a 9-degree of freedom element) it is far simpler to formulate the membrane behavior directly. This is especially true for large displacement response. Here the initially flat form of a simple triangular response is maintained throughout all deformation states. Consequently, one may proceed

---

[*] Visiting Professor, CIMNE, UPC, Barcelona, Spain.

directly with the construction of the kinematic behavior, even in the presence of large strains. This approach is followed in the present work.

The loading of a membrane is often by pressures which remain normal to the surface throughout all deformations. Such *follower* loading generally leads to a form which yields an unsymmetric tangent matrix. Such formulation has been presented in works by Schweizerhof and Ramm[14] and by Simo *et al.*[15] The general approach presented in the last work is used for the special case of the flat triangular element used in this work.

The formulation included in the present study includes inertial and damping terms based on second and first time derivatives of the motion. These are discretized in time using standard techniques (e.g., the Newmark method[16, 17]). Both explicit and implicit schemes are presented together with all linearization steps needed to implement a full Newton type solution. The inclusion of the damping term permits solution of the first order form in order to obtain a final static solution. Generally, the first order form is used until the final state is reached at which point the rate terms are deleted and the full static solution achieved using a standard Newton iterative method.

The work presented is implemented in the general purpose finite element solution system *FEAP*[18] and used to solve example problems. The solution to some basic example problems are included to show the behavior of the element and solution strategies developed.

2 Governing Equations

Reference configuration coordinates in the global Cartesian frame are indicated in upper case by $\boldsymbol{X}$ and current configuration in lower case by $\boldsymbol{x}$. The difference between the coordinates defines a displacement $\boldsymbol{u}$.

Using standard interpolation for a linear triangle positions in the element may be specified as

$$\boldsymbol{X} = \xi_\alpha \, \tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^\alpha \tag{1}$$

in the reference configuration and

$$\boldsymbol{x} = \xi_\alpha \, \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^\alpha \tag{2}$$

for the current configurations. If necessary, the displacement vector may be deduced as

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \xi_\alpha \, \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}^\alpha \tag{3}$$

In the above $\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^\alpha$, $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^\alpha$, $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}^\alpha$ denote *nodal* values of the reference coordinates, current coordinates and displacement vector, respectively. Furthermore, the natural (*area*) coordinates satisfy the constraint

$$\xi_1 + \xi_1 + \xi_3 = 1 \tag{4}$$

It is convenient to introduce a surface coordinate system denoted by Y_1, Y_2 with normal direction N in the reference state and y_1, y_2 with normal direction n in the current state (see Fig. 1).

Fig. 1. Description of coordinates for triangular element

Placing the origin of the Y_I and y_i coordinates at nodal location $\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^1$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^1$, respectively, the unit base vectors may be constructed from the linear displacement triangle by aligning the first vector along the $1-2$ side. Accordingly, we define the first unit vector as

$$\boldsymbol{v}_1 = \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^2 - \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^1}{\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^2 - \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^1\|} = \frac{\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21}}{\|\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21}\|} \tag{5}$$

where

$$\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{ij} = \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^i - \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^j \quad \text{and} \quad \|\boldsymbol{a}\| = \left(\boldsymbol{a}^T\boldsymbol{a}\right)^{1/2} \quad .$$

Next a vector *normal* to the triangle is constructed as

$$\boldsymbol{v}_3 = \Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21} \times \Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{31} \tag{6}$$

and normalized to a unit vector as

$$\boldsymbol{n} = \frac{\boldsymbol{v}_3}{\|\boldsymbol{v}_3\|} \tag{7}$$

The vector $\boldsymbol{v}_3$ plays a special role in later development of nodal forces for *follower pressure loading* as it is twice the area of the triangle times the unit normal vector $\boldsymbol{n}$.

Finally, a second orthogonal unit vector in the plane of the triangle is be computed as

$$\boldsymbol{v}_2 = \boldsymbol{n} \times \boldsymbol{v}_1 \quad . \tag{8}$$

The above developments have been performed based on the current configuration. However, reference quantities may be deduced by replacing lower case letters by upper case ones (e.g., $\boldsymbol{v}_1 \rightarrow \boldsymbol{V}_1$, etc.).

With the above base vectors defined, positions in the plane of the triangle may be given directly as

$$y_i = \left(\boldsymbol{x} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^1\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_i \tag{9}$$

In general, an interpolation may be given as

$$\boldsymbol{y} = \xi_\alpha \tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}^\alpha \tag{10}$$

We note from Eq. (9) that $\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}^1$ is identically zero hence Eq. (10) reduces to

$$\boldsymbol{y} = \xi_2 \, \tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}^2 + \xi_3 \, \tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}^3 \quad . \tag{11}$$

However, the constraint (4) still restricts the admissible values for ξ_2 and ξ_3.

2.1 Deformation Gradient

From the above description of the motion of the triangle it is now possible to deduce the deformation gradient in the plane of the triangle as

$$\boldsymbol{F} = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{y}}{\partial \boldsymbol{Y}} = \boldsymbol{1} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial \boldsymbol{Y}} \tag{12}$$

Using the parametric representations (11) we can compute the deformation gradient from

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{y}}{\partial \boldsymbol{Y}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{Y}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}} = \boldsymbol{F} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{Y}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}} = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{y}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}} \tag{13}$$

If we define the arrays $\boldsymbol{J}$ and $\boldsymbol{j}$ as

$$\boldsymbol{J} = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{Y}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \boldsymbol{j} = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{y}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}} \tag{14}$$

then the deformation gradient is given by

$$\boldsymbol{F} = \boldsymbol{j} \, \boldsymbol{J}^{-1} \tag{15}$$

In the above $\boldsymbol{J}$ is the Jacobian transformation for the reference frame and $\boldsymbol{j}$ that for the current frame. Expanding the relations for each Jacobian we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \left(\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^{21}\right)^T \boldsymbol{V}_1 & \left(\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^{31}\right)^T \boldsymbol{V}_1 \\ \left(\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^{21}\right)^T \boldsymbol{V}_2 & \left(\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^{31}\right)^T \boldsymbol{V}_2 \end{bmatrix} \tag{16}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{j} = \begin{bmatrix} \left(\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21}\right)^T \boldsymbol{v}_1 & \left(\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{31}\right)^T \boldsymbol{v}_1 \\ \left(\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21}\right)^T \boldsymbol{v}_2 & \left(\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{31}\right)^T \boldsymbol{v}_2 \end{bmatrix} \tag{17}$$

By noting that $\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^2 - \tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^1$ is orthogonal to $\boldsymbol{V}_2$ and similarly for the current configuration that $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^2 - \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^1$ is orthogonal to $\boldsymbol{v}_2$ and in addition using the definition for $\boldsymbol{V}_i$

and $\boldsymbol{v}_i$ the above simplify to

$$
\boldsymbol{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \|\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^{21}\|, & \left[\left(\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^{21}\right)^T \left(\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^{31}\right)\right]/\|\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^{21}\| \\ 0 & , & \|\boldsymbol{V}_3\|/\|\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^{21}\| \end{bmatrix}
\tag{18}
$$

and

$$
\boldsymbol{j} = \begin{bmatrix} \|\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21}\|, & \left[\left(\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21}\right)^T \left(\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{31}\right)\right]/\|\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21}\| \\ 0 & , & \|\boldsymbol{v}_3\|/\|\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21}\| \end{bmatrix}
\tag{19}
$$

Using these definitions, the right Cauchy-Green deformation tensor may be expanded as

$$
\boldsymbol{C} = \boldsymbol{F}^T \boldsymbol{F} = \boldsymbol{J}^{-T} \boldsymbol{j}^T \boldsymbol{j} \boldsymbol{J}^{-1} = \boldsymbol{G}^T \boldsymbol{g} \boldsymbol{G}
\tag{20}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{G}$ is used to denote the inverse of $\boldsymbol{J}$. In component form we have

$$
\boldsymbol{C} = \frac{1}{J_{11}^2 J_{22}^2} \begin{bmatrix} J_{22} & 0 \\ -J_{12} & J_{11} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{12} & g_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} J_{22} & -J_{12} \\ 0 & J_{11} \end{bmatrix}
\tag{21}
$$

in which the terms in the kernel array involving $\boldsymbol{j}$ may be expressed in the particularly simple form

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{11} &= j_{11}^2 = \left(\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21}\right)^T \Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21} \\
g_{12} &= j_{12} j_{11} = \left(\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21}\right)^T \Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{31} \\
g_{22} &= j_{12}^2 + j_{22}^2 = \left(\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{31}\right)^T \Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{31}
\end{aligned}
\tag{22}
$$

2.2 Material Constitution - Elastic Behavior

In the present work we assume that a simple St.Venant-Kirchhoff material model may be used to express the stresses from the deformations. Stresses are thus given by

$$
\boldsymbol{S} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}} \, \boldsymbol{E}
\tag{23}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}$ are constant elastic moduli. and the Green-Lagrange strains $\boldsymbol{E}$ are given in terms of the deformation tensor as

$$
\boldsymbol{E} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{C} - \boldsymbol{I} \right) \quad .
\tag{24}
$$

In each triangular element the deformation may be computed from (19) to (22), thus giving directly the stress.

3 Weak Form for Equations of Motion

A weak form for the membrane may be written using a virtual work expression given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\Pi = &\int_\Omega \delta x_i \, \rho_0 \, h \, \ddot{x}_i \, h \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int_\Omega \delta x_i \, c_0 \, \dot{x}_i \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int_\Omega \delta E_{IJ} S_{IJ} \, h \, \mathrm{d}\Omega \\
&- \int_\Omega \delta x_i b_i \, \mathrm{d}\omega - \int_{\gamma_t} \delta x_i \, \bar{t}_i \, \mathrm{d}\gamma = 0
\end{aligned}
\tag{25}
$$

in which ρ_0 is mass density in the reference configuration, c_0 is a linear damping coefficient in the reference configuration, h is membrane thickness, S_{IJ} are components of the second Piola-Kirchhoff stress, b_i are components of loads in global coordinate directions (e.g., gravity), and $\bar{t}_i$ are components of specified membrane force per unit length. Upper case letters refer to components expressed on the reference configuration, whereas, lower case letters refer to current configuration quantities. Likewise, Ω and ω are surface area for the reference and current configurations, respectively. Finally, γ_t is a part of the current surface contour on which traction values are specified.

The linear damping term is included only for purposes in getting initially stable solutions. That is, by ignoring the inertial loading based on $\ddot{x}$ only first derivatives of time will occur. This results in a transient form which is critically damped - thus permitting the reaching of a static loading state in a more monotonic manner.

We note that components for a normal pressure loading may be expressed as

$$b_i = p\, n_i \tag{26}$$

where p is a specified pressure and n_i are components of the normal to the surface.

Writing Eq. (20) in component form we have

$$C_{IJ} = G_{iI}\, g_{ij}\, G_{jJ} \quad \text{for} \quad i,j = 1,2 \ \ I,J = 1,2 \tag{27}$$

where

$$G_{11} = \frac{1}{J_{11}} \ \ ; \ \ G_{22} = \frac{1}{J_{22}} \ \ ; \ \ G_{12} = \frac{-J_{12}}{J_{11}\, J_{22}} \ \ ; \ \ G_{21} = 0 \tag{28}$$

The integrand of the first term in (25) may be written as

$$\delta C_{IJ}\, S_{IJ} = G_{iI}\, \delta g_{ij}\, G_{jJ}\, S_{IJ} = \delta g_{ij}\, s_{ij} \tag{29}$$

where the stress like variable s_{ij} is defined by

$$s_{ij} = G_{iI}\, G_{jJ}\, S_{IJ} \tag{30}$$

The transformation of stress given by (30) may be written in matrix form as

$$\boldsymbol{s} = \boldsymbol{Q}^T \boldsymbol{S} \tag{31}$$

in which

$$Q_{ab} \leftarrow G_{iI}\, G_{jJ}$$

where the index map is performed according to Table 1, yielding the result

$$\boldsymbol{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} G_{11}^2 & 0 & 0 \\ G_{12}^2 & G_{22}^2 & G_{12}\, G_{22} \\ 2\, G_{11}\, G_{12} & 0 & G_{11}\, G_{22} \end{bmatrix} \tag{32}$$

Since the deformation tensor is constant over each element, the results for the stresses are constant when h is taken constant over each element and, thus, the surface integral for the first term leads to the simple expression

$$\int_{\Omega} h\, \delta E_{IJ}\, S_{IJ}\, \mathrm{d}\Omega = \int_{\Omega} \frac{h}{2} \delta C_{IJ}\, S_{IJ}\, \mathrm{d}\Omega = \int_{\Omega} \frac{h}{2} \delta g_{ij}\, s_{ij}\, \mathrm{d}\Omega = \frac{h}{2} \delta g_{ij}\, s_{ij}\, A \tag{33}$$

Table 1. Index map for Q array

Indices	Values		
a	1	2	3
I,J	1,1	2,2	1,2 & 2,1
b	1	2	3
i,j	1,1	2,2	1,2 & 2,1

where A is the reference area for the triangular element.

The variation of g_{ij} results in the values

$$\delta g_{11} = 2 \left(\delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^2 - \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^1 \right)^T \Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21}$$
$$\delta g_{12} = \left(\delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^2 - \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^1 \right)^T \Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{31} + \left(\delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^3 - \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^1 \right)^T \Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21} \qquad (34)$$
$$\delta g_{22} = 2 \left(\delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^3 - \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^1 \right)^T \Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{31}$$

At this stage it is convenient to transform the second order tensors to matrix form and write

$$\frac{1}{2} \delta C_{IJ} S_{IJ} = \delta E_{IJ} S_{IJ} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta E_{11} & \delta E_{22} & 2\,\delta E_{12} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} \\ S_{22} \\ S_{12} \end{bmatrix} = \delta \boldsymbol{E}^T \boldsymbol{S} \qquad (35)$$

or for the alternative form

$$\frac{1}{2} \delta g_{ij} s_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \delta g_{11} & \delta g_{22} & 2\,\delta g_{12} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_{11} \\ s_{22} \\ s_{12} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \delta \boldsymbol{g}^T \boldsymbol{s} \qquad (36)$$

Using (34) we obtain the result directly in terms of global cartesian components as

$$\frac{1}{2} \delta \boldsymbol{g}^T \boldsymbol{s} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^1)^T & \delta(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^2)^T & \delta(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^3)^T \end{bmatrix} [\boldsymbol{b}]^T \; \boldsymbol{s}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \delta(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^1)^T & \delta(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^2)^T & \delta(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^3)^T \end{bmatrix} [\boldsymbol{b}]^T \; \boldsymbol{Q}^T \boldsymbol{S} = \delta \boldsymbol{E}^T \boldsymbol{S} \qquad (37)$$

where the *strain-displacement matrix* $\boldsymbol{b}$ is given by

$$\boldsymbol{b} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -(\Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21})^T & (\Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21})^T & \boldsymbol{0} \\ -(\Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{31})^T & \boldsymbol{0} & (\Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{31})^T \\ -(\Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21} + \Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{31})^T & (\Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{31})^T & (\Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21})^T \end{bmatrix}}_{3 \times 9} \qquad (38)$$

Thus, directly we have in each element

$$\delta \boldsymbol{E} = \boldsymbol{Q} \, \boldsymbol{b} \, \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} = \frac{1}{2} \delta \boldsymbol{C} \qquad (39)$$

where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}$ denotes the three nodal values on the element. It is immediately obvious that we can describe a strain-displacement matrix for the variation of $\boldsymbol{E}$ as

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{Q} \, \boldsymbol{b} \qquad (40)$$

A residual form for each element may be written as

$$
\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{R}^1 \\ \boldsymbol{R}^2 \\ \boldsymbol{R}^3 \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{f}^1 \\ \boldsymbol{f}^2 \\ \boldsymbol{f}^3 \end{array} \right\} - [\boldsymbol{M}_e] \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \ddot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}^1 \\ \ddot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}^2 \\ \ddot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}^3 \end{array} \right\} - [\boldsymbol{C}_e] \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}^1 \\ \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}^2 \\ \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}^3 \end{array} \right\} - h\,A\,[\boldsymbol{B}]^T \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S_{11} \\ S_{22} \\ S_{12} \end{array} \right\} \tag{41}
$$

where $[\boldsymbol{M}_e]$ and where $[\boldsymbol{C}_e]$ are the element mass and damping matrices given by

$$
[\boldsymbol{M}_e] = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{M}^{11} & \boldsymbol{M}^{12} & \boldsymbol{M}^{13} \\ \boldsymbol{M}^{21} & \boldsymbol{M}^{22} & \boldsymbol{M}^{23} \\ \boldsymbol{M}^{31} & \boldsymbol{M}^{32} & \boldsymbol{M}^{33} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad [\boldsymbol{C}_e] = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{C}^{11} & \boldsymbol{C}^{12} & \boldsymbol{C}^{13} \\ \boldsymbol{C}^{21} & \boldsymbol{C}^{22} & \boldsymbol{C}^{23} \\ \boldsymbol{C}^{31} & \boldsymbol{C}^{32} & \boldsymbol{C}^{33} \end{bmatrix} \tag{42}
$$

with

$$
\boldsymbol{M}^{\alpha\beta} = \int_{\Omega} \rho_0\,h\,\xi_\alpha\,\xi_\beta\,\mathrm{d}\Omega\,\boldsymbol{I} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{C}^{\alpha\beta} = \int_{\Omega} c_0\,h\,\xi_\alpha\,\xi_\beta\,\mathrm{d}\Omega\,\boldsymbol{I} \tag{43}
$$

3.1 Pressure Follower Loading

For membranes subjected to internal pressure loading, the finite element nodal forces must be computed based on the *deformed* current configuration. Thus, for each triangle we need to compute the nodal forces from the relation

$$
\delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{\alpha,T}\,\boldsymbol{f}^\alpha = \delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{\alpha,T} \int_\omega \xi_\alpha\,(p\,\boldsymbol{n})\,\mathrm{d}\omega \tag{44}
$$

For the constant triangular element and constant pressure over the element, denoted by p_e, the normal vector $\boldsymbol{n}$ is also constant and thus the integral yields the nodal forces

$$
\boldsymbol{f}^\alpha = \frac{1}{3}\,p_e\,\boldsymbol{n}\,A_e \tag{45}
$$

We noted previously from Eq. (6) that the cross product of the incremental vectors $\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21}$ with $\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{31}$ resulted in a vector normal to the triangle with magnitude of twice the area. Thus, the nodal forces for the pressure are given by the simple relation

$$
\boldsymbol{f}^\alpha = \frac{1}{6}\,p_e\,\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21} \times \Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{31} \tag{46}
$$

Instead of the cross products it is convenient to introduce a matrix form denoted by

$$
\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21} \times \Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{31} = \left[\widehat{\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}^{21}\right] \Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{31} \tag{47}
$$

where

$$
\left[\widehat{\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}^{ij}\right] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\Delta\tilde{x}_3^{ij} & \Delta\tilde{x}_2^{ij} \\ \Delta\tilde{x}_3^{ij} & 0 & -\Delta\tilde{x}_1^{ij} \\ -\Delta\tilde{x}_2^{ij} & \Delta\tilde{x}_1^{ij} & 0 \end{bmatrix}\quad . \tag{48}
$$

Fig. 2. Cable reinforced membrane element at(i-j)

4 Reinforcement Cables

It is common to place reinforcing cables in membranes to provide added strength or shape control. The cables are generally very strong in axial load capacity (generally tension) and weak in bending. Accordingly, they may be modeled by a *truss* type member. In the form admitted here it is not necessary for the reinforcement to be placed at the edges of membrane elements - they may pass through an element as shown in Fig 2.

The ends of a typical reinforcement are denoted as i and j in the figure and have reference coordinates $\boldsymbol{X}^i$ and $\boldsymbol{X}^j$, respectively. These points may be referred to the nodal values of the membrane by computing the values of the natural coordinates so that

$$\boldsymbol{X}^k = \xi_\alpha^k \, \boldsymbol{X}^\alpha \quad \text{for} \quad k = i, j \tag{49}$$

The solution for the two points may be trivially constructed from linear interpolation on the edges. The results are (for the points intersecting the edges shown in the figure)

$$\xi_2^i = \frac{\|\boldsymbol{X}^i - \boldsymbol{X}^1\|}{\|\boldsymbol{X}^2 - \boldsymbol{X}^1\|} \quad ; \quad \xi_1^i = 1 - \xi_2^i \quad ; \quad \xi_3^i = 0$$

$$\xi_3^j = \frac{\|\boldsymbol{X}^j - \boldsymbol{X}^1\|}{\|\boldsymbol{X}^3 - \boldsymbol{X}^1\|} \quad ; \quad \xi_1^i = 1 - \xi_3^i \quad ; \quad \xi_2^i = 0 \tag{50}$$

Using these values the deformed position of the reinforcement cable may be written as

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}^i \\ \boldsymbol{x}^j \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} \xi_\alpha^i \, \boldsymbol{I} \\ \xi_\alpha^j \, \boldsymbol{I} \end{Bmatrix} \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^\alpha \tag{51}$$

4.1 Deformation of Cable

The deformation of the reinforcement cable may be expressed in terms of the Green-Lagrange strain given by

$$E_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{(\boldsymbol{x}^j - \boldsymbol{x}^i)^T (\boldsymbol{x}^j - \boldsymbol{x}^i)}{(\boldsymbol{X}^j - \boldsymbol{X}^i)^T (\boldsymbol{X}^j - \boldsymbol{X}^i)} - 1 \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\|\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{ji}\|^2}{\|\Delta \boldsymbol{X}^{ji}\|^2} - 1 \right] \tag{52}$$

where $\Delta \boldsymbol{X}^{ji} = \boldsymbol{X}^j - \boldsymbol{X}^i$. The variation of the strain is then expressed as

$$\delta E_{ij} = \frac{(\delta \boldsymbol{x}^j - \delta \boldsymbol{x}^i)^T (\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{ji})}{\|\Delta \boldsymbol{X}^{ji}\|^2} \tag{53}$$

4.2 Material Constitution

For simplicity we again assume that the material is elastic and may be represented by a one-dimensional form of a St.Venant-Kirchhoff model expressed as

$$S_{ij} = \mathsf{E}\, E_{ij} \tag{54}$$

where S_{ij} is the constant second Piola-Kirchhoff stress in the cable and E is an elastic modulus.

4.3 Weak Form for Reinforcement

A weak form for an individual reinforcement cable in an element may be written as

$$\delta \Pi_r = \delta \boldsymbol{x}^k \left(\boldsymbol{M}_{kl} \ddot{\boldsymbol{x}}^l + \boldsymbol{C}_{kl} \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}^l \right) - \delta E_{ij}\, S_{ij}\, A_{ij}\, L_{ij} \quad ; \quad k, l = i, j \tag{55}$$

where A_{ij} is the cross sectional area of the reinforcement; L_{ij} the length of the cable (i.e., $\|\Delta \boldsymbol{X}^{ji}\|$); $\boldsymbol{M}_{kl}$ is the mass matrix; and $\boldsymbol{C}_{kl}$ is the damping matrix.

The variation of the strain is rewritten from Eq. (53) as

$$\delta E_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta \boldsymbol{x}^{i,T} & \delta \boldsymbol{x}^{j,T} \end{bmatrix} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} -\dfrac{\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{ji}}{L_{ij}^2} \\[2mm] \dfrac{\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{ji}}{L_{ij}^2} \end{array} \right\} \tag{56}$$

Equation (55) is appended to the other terms from the membrane by replacing variations of end displacements and the rate terms by their representation in terms of the membrane nodal parameters as given by Eq. (51). The result is:

$$\delta \Pi_r = \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{\alpha,T} \left\{ \boldsymbol{M}_r^{\alpha\beta}\, \ddot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}^\beta + \boldsymbol{C}_r^{\alpha\beta}\, \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}^\beta - \boldsymbol{P}_r^\alpha \right\} \tag{57}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{P}_r^\alpha = \Delta \xi_\alpha^{ji}\, \Delta \xi_\beta^{ji}\, \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^\beta\, \frac{S_{ij}\, A_{ij}}{L_{ij}} \quad ; \tag{58}$$

$$M_r^{\alpha\beta} = \xi_\alpha^i M_{ii} \xi_\beta^i + \xi_\alpha^i M_{ij} \xi_\beta^j + \xi_\alpha^j M_{ji} \xi_\beta^i + \xi_\alpha^j M_{jj} \xi_\beta^j \tag{59}$$

and

$$C_r^{\alpha\beta} = \xi_\alpha^i C_{ii} \xi_\beta^i + \xi_\alpha^i C_{ij} \xi_\beta^j + \xi_\alpha^j C_{ji} \xi_\beta^i + \xi_\alpha^j C_{jj} \xi_\beta^j \tag{60}$$

In the definition of P_r^α the incremental ξ denote

$$\Delta \xi_\alpha^{ji} = \xi_\alpha^j - \xi_\alpha^i \tag{61}$$

Multiple reinforcement strands within any element may be simply considered by summing over all the ij-pairs of intersection points.

5 Solution Methods

5.1 Explicit Solution

In an explicit time integration the lumped mass is commonly used. Furthermore, we shall assume that the damping is negligible and thus may be ignored. A diagonal (lumped) mass is usually also constructed where

$$M^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} \int_\Omega \rho_0\, h\, \mathrm{d}\Omega\, I & ;\ \text{for } \alpha = \beta \\ 0 & ;\ \text{for } \alpha \neq \beta \end{cases} \tag{62}$$

Diagonalization of the mass matrix in the presence of reinforcement is more difficult and, if performed, must be based on purely physical lumping arguments as no clear mathematical justification is available.[17]

A solution is then computed for each discrete time t_n from

$$\ddot{x}_n = M^{-1} \left[f_n - \sum_e \left(B_{n,e}^T S_{n,e} A_e h_e + P_{r,e} \right) \right] \tag{63}$$

where subscripts e refer to individual element quantities and subscript n to the time step. The inverse of the mass is trivial due to its diagonal form, hence the method is directly proportional to the number of nodes in the mesh.

The solution state may now be advanced in time using any time integration procedure. For example using a Newmark method

$$\dot{x}_n = \dot{x}_{n-1} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta t_{n-1} \left(\ddot{x}_{n-1} + \ddot{x}_n \right)$$
$$x_{n+1} = x_n + \Delta t_n\, \dot{x}_n + \frac{1}{2} \Delta t_n^2\, \ddot{x}_n \tag{64}$$

in which $\Delta t_n = t_n - t_{n-1}$.

An explicit solution is conditionally stable and requires

$$\Delta t_n \leq \Delta t_{cr} \tag{65}$$

for all time steps. The critical time step depends on element size and the maximum wave speed for the element material. The resulting time increment is often much too small for practical considerations in computer effort and for the response necessary to model slowly varying loads. In these situations it is more expedient to use in implicit time integration procedure in which inertial, stress, and loading matrices also depend on position and velocity at the current time.

5.2 Implicit Solution

In an implicit solution case it is necessary to use an iterative solution scheme at each time step which solves a sequence of linear, coupled algebraic problems. Here we only present results for the St.Venant-Kirchhoff material model and the normal *follower* pressure loading. We assume that the transient problem will be integrated using the Newmark method, however, other schemes may also be utilized with minor modifications.

The Newmark method may be written for implicit solutions as

$$\boldsymbol{x}_n = \boldsymbol{x}_{n-1} + \Delta t_n\, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{n-1} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta \right)\, \Delta t_n^2\, \ddot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{n-1} + \beta\, \Delta t_n^2\, \ddot{\boldsymbol{x}}_n$$

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_n = \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{n-1} + (1 - \gamma)\, \Delta t_n\, \ddot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{n-1} + \gamma\, \Delta t_n\, \ddot{\boldsymbol{x}}_n \tag{66}$$

The equations to be solved at each time step may be expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{R}_n^\alpha = \boldsymbol{f}_n^\alpha - \sum_e \left(\boldsymbol{M}_e^{\alpha\beta} + \boldsymbol{M}_r^{\alpha\beta} \right) \ddot{\boldsymbol{x}}_n^\beta - \sum_e \left(\boldsymbol{C}_e^{\alpha\beta} + \boldsymbol{C}_r^{\alpha\beta} \right) \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_n^\beta$$

$$- \sum_e \left(h_e\, A_e\, \boldsymbol{B}_e^{\alpha,T}\, \boldsymbol{S}_e + \boldsymbol{P}_{r,e}^\alpha \right)_n \tag{67}$$

In an implicit method Eq. (67) may be solved iteratively using a Newton method. In this process the nonlinear residual equations are linearized about a given set of nodal positions $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_n^k$ corresponding to known values at some iteration stage k. The result is written as

$$\boldsymbol{R}_n^{k+1} \approx \boldsymbol{R}_n^k + \left. \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_n}{\partial \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}} \right|^k d\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_n^k = \boldsymbol{0} \tag{68}$$

If we define the tangent (jacobian) matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ as

$$\boldsymbol{A} = -\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}}{\partial \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}} \tag{69}$$

the set of linear algebraic equations to be solved at each iteration may be expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{A}_n^k\, d\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_n^k = \boldsymbol{R}_n^k \tag{70}$$

The solution may then be updated using

$$\boldsymbol{x}_n^{k+1} = \boldsymbol{x}_n^k + d\boldsymbol{x}_n^k \tag{71}$$

and iteration continued until convergence is achieved.

The Newton scheme will have a quadratic asymptotic rate of convergence provided a careful derivation of the tangent matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ is constructed. Typically such jacobians are referred to as the *consistent tangent matrix*. For transient applications the use of the specified time stepping algorithm is required to compute the tangent matrix. The computation for the transient term is performed as

$$\boldsymbol{A} = -\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}}{\partial \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}} - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}}{\partial \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}} \frac{\partial \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}}{\partial \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}} - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}}{\partial \ddot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}} \frac{\partial \ddot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}}{\partial \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}} \tag{72}$$

The result may be written as

$$\boldsymbol{A} = c_1\, \boldsymbol{K} + c_2\, \boldsymbol{C} + c_3\, \boldsymbol{M} \tag{73}$$

where the c_i result from any differentiation of the nodal vectors with respect to the solution vector. For the Newmark method the result from (67) gives $c_1 = 1$ and from (66) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}{\partial \ddot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}_n} = \beta \, \Delta t_n^2 \boldsymbol{I} \ \text{ and } \ \frac{\partial \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}}{\partial \ddot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}_n} = \gamma \, \Delta t_n \boldsymbol{I}$$

thus giving

$$c_2 = \frac{\gamma}{\beta \, \Delta t_n} \ \text{ and } \ c_3 = \frac{1}{\beta \, \Delta t_n^2}$$

From (67) we find that

$$\boldsymbol{M}_n = \sum_e \left(\boldsymbol{M}_e + \boldsymbol{M}_r \right)$$

$$\boldsymbol{C}_n = \sum_e \left(\boldsymbol{C}_e + \boldsymbol{C}_r \right) \tag{74}$$

$$\boldsymbol{K}_n = \sum_e \left(\boldsymbol{K}_e + \boldsymbol{K}_r + \boldsymbol{K}_f \right)$$

where subscripts e, r and f denote quantities computed from the membrane element, reinforcement cable and pressure follower loading terms, respectively.

Membrane tangent matrix

To compute the element stiffness matrix it is necessary to determine the change in stress due to an incremental change in the motion. Accordingly, for the St.Venant-Kirchhoff model we obtain

$$d\boldsymbol{S}_e = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}} \, d\boldsymbol{E}_e \tag{75}$$

where

$$d\boldsymbol{E}_e = \boldsymbol{Q}_e \, \boldsymbol{b}_e \, d\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_e = \boldsymbol{B}_e \, d\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_e \tag{76}$$

The element stiffness matrix is given by

$$\boldsymbol{K}_e = \left(h \, A \, \boldsymbol{B}_n^T \, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_n \, \boldsymbol{B}_n + \boldsymbol{K}_g \right)_e \tag{77}$$

where $\boldsymbol{K}_g$ is a geometric stiffness computed from the term

$$\frac{h_e}{2} \, A_e \, d \left(\delta C_{IJ} \right) S_{IJ} = \frac{h_e}{2} \, A_e \, d \left(\delta g_{ij} \right) s_{ij} \tag{78}$$

From (34) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\delta g_{11}) &= 2 \left(\delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^2 - \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^1 \right)^T \left(d\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^2 - d\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^1 \right) \\
d(\delta g_{12}) &= \left(\delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^2 - \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^1 \right)^T \left(d\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^3 - d\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^1 \right) + \left(\delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^3 - \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^1 \right)^T \left(d\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^2 - d\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^1 \right) \\
d(\delta g_{22}) &= 2 \left(\delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^3 - \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^1 \right)^T \left(d\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^3 - d\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^1 \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

Using these expressions the geometric matrix may be written as

$$\boldsymbol{K}_g = h_e \, A_e \begin{bmatrix} (s_{11} + 2s_{12} + s_{22})\boldsymbol{I} & -(s_{11} + s_{12})\boldsymbol{I} & -(s_{22} + s_{12})\boldsymbol{I} \\ -(s_{11} + s_{12})\boldsymbol{I} & s_{11}\boldsymbol{I} & s_{12}\boldsymbol{I} \\ -(s_{22} + s_{12})\boldsymbol{I} & s_{12}\boldsymbol{I} & s_{22}\boldsymbol{I} \end{bmatrix} \tag{80}$$

Reinforcement tangent matrix

In a similar manner to that for the membrane, the tangent matrix for the reinforcement may be computed from

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{K}_r^{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{P}_r^\alpha}{\partial \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^\beta} \\
&= \Delta\xi_\alpha^{ji} \left[\frac{\mathsf{E}A_{ij}}{L_{ij}} \left(\Delta\xi_\gamma^{ji} \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^\gamma \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{\delta,T} \Delta\xi_\delta^{ji} \right) + \frac{S_{ij}A_{ij}}{L_{ij}} \boldsymbol{I} \right] \Delta\xi_\beta^{ji}
\end{aligned}
\tag{81}
$$

Pressure follower loading tangent matrix

The pressure follower loading tangent matrix is obtained directly from (46) as

$$
d\boldsymbol{f}^\alpha = \frac{1}{6}\, p_e \left[d(\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21}) \times \Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{31} + \Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21} \times d(\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{31}) \right]
\tag{82}
$$

Using (47) this may be written as

$$
d\boldsymbol{f}^\alpha = \frac{1}{6}\, p_e \left([\widehat{\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}^{21}]\, d(\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{31}) - [\widehat{\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}^{31}]\, d(\Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{21}) \right)
\tag{83}
$$

and gives the tangent matrix form

$$
\delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{\alpha,T} \boldsymbol{K}_f^{\alpha\beta} d\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^\beta = \delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{\alpha,T} \left[\widehat{\Delta\boldsymbol{x}}^{32} \;\; -\widehat{\Delta\boldsymbol{x}}^{31} \;\; \widehat{\Delta\boldsymbol{x}}^{21} \right] \begin{bmatrix} d\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^1 \\ d\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^2 \\ d\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^3 \end{bmatrix}
\tag{84}
$$

In general the tangent is unsymmetric and requires a solution scheme to solve equations which can include such effects.

5.3 Quasi-Static Solutions

Membrane structures typically have no stiffness during the initial phase of loading. Thus, it is necessary to perform some form of a transient analysis until an equilibrium position is neared, at which time it is possible to switch to a *static* loading in which no rate terms are included. To avoid oscillations during the solution process the equations of motion are treated here in a first order form as

$$
\boldsymbol{R} = \boldsymbol{f} - \boldsymbol{C}\,\dot{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}} - \sum_e \left(h_e A_e \boldsymbol{B}^T \boldsymbol{S} + \boldsymbol{P}_{e,r} \right) = \boldsymbol{0}
\tag{85}
$$

and solved using an implicit *backward Euler* solution process in which discrete rates are approximated by

$$
\dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}_n = \frac{1}{\Delta t_n} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_n - \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{n-1} \right)
\tag{86}
$$

A solution is computed until the rate terms are small at which time they are dropped and the solution is computed from the static form

$$
\boldsymbol{R} = \boldsymbol{f} - \sum_e \left(h_e A_e \boldsymbol{B}^T \boldsymbol{S} + \boldsymbol{P}_{e,r} \right) = \boldsymbol{0}
\tag{87}
$$

In results reported in numerical examples, a diagonal (lumped) form of $\boldsymbol{C}$ is used. The diagonalization is performed identically to that for the lumped mass form.

6 Numerical Examples

## 6.1 Sphere Subjected to Internal Follower Pressure

The first problem presented is a sphere of initial (unstressed) radius of 10 units which is subjected to an internal follower pressure loading of 5. The material properties are

$$E = 1000 \ ; \ \nu = 0.25 \ ; \ \rho_0 = 10 \ ; \ h_e = 0.1$$

A mesh for one quadrant of the sphere (1/8 of the total) is constructed as shown in Fig. 3

Both the undeformed and deformed configurations are included to indicate the amount of deformation occurring.

The problem is solved using a backward Euler time integrator for the case where M is zero and a diagonal damping matrix C with $c_0 = \rho_0$ is used. The full internal pressure is applied during the first time step and held constant during subsequent steps. The problem is solved using 100 steps with a constant Δt of 0.001. Subsequently, the rate terms are ignored and a final static state determined during one additional step. Convergence to full machine precision is achieved in three iterations for all steps - indicating a correct implementation for the Newton strategy described in this study. A plot of the contours for the u_3 displacement is shown in Fig. 4 .

Fig. 3. Mesh for sphere problem symmetrically supported at edges

Fig. 4. Contours for u_3. Full sphere shown by reflections.

6.2 Corner Supported Sphere Quadrant Subjected to Internal Follower Pressure

The boundary conditions for the first problem are changed to ones in which the vertices of the quadrant are fully restrained (fixed in all directions). Loading is again by a follower loading with magnitude 5. The contours for the absolute displacement ($\|\boldsymbol{u}\|$) are shown on the deformed mesh in Fig. 5 . Solution is obtained in the same way as for the previous example. Convergence was achieved in all steps to full machine accuracy in 3-4 iterations each step.

Figure 6 presents a comparison for the different solution strategies used for this example. The same problem has been analyzed three (3) times including different terms in the backward Euler time integration. The first solution includes the damping term only, the second solution includes all the dynamic terms (mass and damping), and the third solution includes only the mass term of the equation. For each time step the figure shows the evolution of the displacement of the mesh's central point. Finally, each solution scheme is compared to the actual final static result.

6.3 Square Supported at 4-Corners

The third problem is presented to test the performance of the triangular membrane formulation described above under gravity loading. Here a square region of 20 units on a side is supported only at its four corners. Due to symmetry only one quadrant

is analyzed with the origin of coordinates at the lower left corner and a uniform mesh of 20×20 spaces as shown in Fig. 7. The flat configuration lies in the $x_1 - x_2$ coordinate plane at $x_3 = 0$. A uniform body loading is applied in the x_3 direction. To permit a sag to occur the upper right corner node (which is fully restrained in all three directions) is displaced equally in the x_1 and x_2 by a negative 2 units (that is the deformed position of the node at this point has final coordinates $(8, 8, 0)$.

For the membrane problem considered here, the properties are taken as

$$E = 10000 \;\; ; \;\; \nu = 0.25 \;\; ; \;\; \rho_0 = 10 \;\; ; \;\; h_e = 0.1 \;\; ; \;\; b_3 = 1$$

The solution is computed using a backward Euler solution process with $\boldsymbol{M} = \boldsymbol{0}$ and a diagonal $\boldsymbol{C}$ matrix with $c_0 = \rho_0$. The time behavior is constructed using 1000 time steps of $\Delta t = 0.0001$ followed by an additional 1000 steps at $\Delta t = 0.001$ and a final 1000 steps at $\Delta t = 0.002$. The corner displacements are moved 2 units at a uniform rate until $t = 1$ after which they are held constant. At the final time of 3.1 the solution strategy is switched to a static algorithm and a converged solution achieved in 4 iterations. In general each time step converges at the quadratic rate in 3 to 4 iterations per step. Use of larger step sizes, however, resulted in divergence of the solution after a few steps. The final shape of the membrane is shown in Fig. 8 where the full problem is shown by reflecting results about the symmetry axes.


Fig. 5. Contours for $\|\boldsymbol{u}\|$. Corner supported sphere shown in deformed configuration

Fig. 6. Comparison of the evolution of the result for 3 different sets of dynamic terms. Representation of the absolute displacement $\|\boldsymbol{u}\|$ of the mesh's central point in the corner supported sphere

**Fig. 7.** Mesh for membrane problem supported at corners

DISPLACEMENT 1

	-2.00E+00
	-1.71E+00
	-1.43E+00
	-1.14E+00
	-8.55E-01
	-5.69E-01
	-2.83E-01
	3.27E-03

Fig. 8. Deformed configuration of membrane

6.4 Inflation of a Balloon

The fourth problem represents the inflation of a balloon and is presented to test the performance of the triangular membrane element and solution strategy on a very non-linear problem. The mesh geometry consists on two flat, horizontal surfaces connected to a vertical conical open end. Figure 9 shows the geometry of a balloon in its uninflated state. An internal pressure (follower) loading of 5 units is applied to bring the balloon to a final vertical configuration. The circular end of the cone has all its degrees of freedom fixed. The parameters for the material are

$$E = 1000 \;\; ; \;\; \nu = 0.25 \;\; ; \;\; \rho_0 = 10 \;\; ; \;\; h_e = 0.1$$


**Fig. 9.** Reference configuration of the balloon

**Fig. 10.** Current configuration of the balloon after 120 time steps, displaying vertical displacement (u_3) contour

Fig. 11. Final configuration of the balloon, displaying absolute displacement $\|\boldsymbol{u}\|$

The solution is computed using a backward Euler strategy neglecting the inertia terms. The $\boldsymbol{C}$ matrix used is diagonal with $c_0 = \rho_0$. The transient solution is continued for 120 time steps using a $\Delta t = 0.01$. A final static step is then computed in which all the dynamic terms are dropped. Convergence for all the transient steps is achieved in 4-6 iterations, while the final static step takes 33 iterations. The solution state at the end of the transient steps is shown in Fig. 10 and the final state achieved after the static step in Fig. 11.

7 Closure

This paper has summarized the steps required to develop and implement a 3-node triangular membrane finite element which can undergo arbitrarily large finite motions. The material behavior included here is restricted to a St.Venant-Kirchhoff material, however, extension to other types of constitutive models for isotropic behavior is straight forward.

The formulation presented includes both inertia and damping effects leading to a general second-order semi-discrete form. Solution to these ordinary differential equations may be achieved using explicit or implicit transient forms or using a quasi-static form in which all rate effects are omitted. Use of a solution algorithm employing only first-order form is shown to lead to a simple means of solving the final static membrane state starting from an unstressed reference configuration.

References

1. Zienkiewicz OC, Taylor RL (2000) The Finite Element Method: Solid Mechanics. Volume 2. Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 5th edition
2. Simo JC, Fox DD (1989) On a stress resultant geometrically exact shell model. Part I: Formulation and optimal parametrization. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 72:267–304
3. Simo JC, Fox DD, Rifai MS (1989) On a stress resultant geometrically exact shell model. Part II: The linear theory; computational aspects. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 73:53–92
4. Simo JC, Rifai MS, Fox DD (1990) On a stress resultant geometrically exact shell model. Part IV Variable thickness shells with through-the-thickness stretching. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 81:91–126
5. Simo JC, Tarnow N (1994) A new energy and momentum conserving algorithm for the non-linear dynamics of shells. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 37:2527–2549
6. Büchter N, Ramm E (1992) Shell theory versus degeneration – a comparison in large rotation finite element analysis. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 34:39–59
7. Büchter N, Ramm E, Roehl D (1994) Three-dimensional extension of non-linear shell formulations based on the enhanced assumed strain concept. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* 37:2551–2568
8. Braun M, Bischoff M, Ramm E (1994) Nonlinear shell formulations for complete three-dimensional constitutive laws include composites and laminates. Computational Mechanics 15:1–18
9. Bischoff M, Ramm E (1997) Shear deformable shell elements for large strains and rotations. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 40:4427–49
10. Bischoff M, Ramm E (1999) Solid-like shell or shell-like solid formulation? A personal view. In W. Wunderlich, editor, *Proc. Eur Conf on Comp. Mech (ECCM'99 on CD-ROM)*, Munich, September
11. Ramm E (1999) From Reissner plate theory to three dimensions in large deformation shell analysis. Z. Angew. Math. Mech. 79:1–8

12. Bischoff M, Ramm E (2000) On the physical significance of higher order kinematic and static variables in a three-dimensional shell formulation. International Journal of Solids and Structures 37:6933–60

13. Bletzinger K-U, Bischoff M, Ramm E (2000) A unified approach for shear-locking-free triangular and rectangular shell finite elements. Computers and Structures 75:321–34

14. Schweizerhof K, Ramm E (1984) Displacement dependent pressure loads in non-linear finite element analysis. Computers and Structures 18(6):1099–1114

15. Simo JC, Taylor RL, Wriggers P (1991) A note on finite element implementation of pressure boundary loading. Communications in Applied Numerical Methods 7:513–525

16. Newmark N (1959) A method of computation for structural dynamics. Journal of the Engineering Mechanics Division 85:67–94.

17. Zienkiewicz OC, Taylor RL (2000) The Finite Element Method: The Basis. Volume 1, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 5th edition

18. Taylor RL FEAP - A Finite Element Analysis Program, Programmer Manual. University of California, Berkeley, http://www.ce.berkeley.edu/~rlt