



Derivation of the secant stiffness matrix for non linear finite element analysis of solids and trusses

E. Oñate



Derivation of the secant stiffness matrix for non linear finite element analysis of solids and trusses

E. Oñate

Publication CIMNE Nº 49, May 1994

A shorten version of this publication has been submitted to
"Computational Mechanics" on May 1994

International Center for Numerical Methods in Engineering
Gran Capitán s/n, 08034 Barcelona, Spain

DERIVATION OF THE SECANT STIFFNESS MATRIX FOR NON LINEAR FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF SOLIDS AND TRUSSES

EUGENIO OÑATE

*E.T.S. Ingenieros de Caminos, Canales y Puertos
Universidad Politécnica de Cataluña,
c/ Gran Capitán s/n,
08034 Barcelona, Spain.*

SUMMARY

In this paper the general non symmetric parametric form of the incremental secant stiffness matrix for non linear analysis of solids using the finite element method is derived. A convenient symmetric expression for a particular value of the parameters is obtained. The geometrically non linear formulation is based on a Generalized Lagrangian approach. Detailed expressions of all the relevant matrices involved in the analysis of 3D solids are obtained. The possibilities of application of the secant stiffness matrix for non linear structural problems including stability, bifurcation and limit load analysis are also discussed. Examples of application are given for the non linear analysis of pin joined frames.

INTRODUCTION

The use of numerical solution strategies based on the secant stiffness matrix has received little attention in the context of non linear structural analysis by the finite element method. An exception to this is the use of quasi-Newton incremental iterative algorithms (i.e. *BFGS*, etc.) [1]-[3] where approximate pseudo-secant stiffness matrices are used as iteration matrices within each iteration loop.

The potential of using the "exact" form of the secant stiffness matrix for developing new solution algorithms for non linear structural problem has been recently recognized by different authors. Oñate et al. [4]-[5] proposed different secant iteration procedures for geometrically non linear problems. Kroplin and Dinkler have successfully used the secant stiffness matrix, derived via mixed finite element methods, to propose new solution strategies based on energy perturbation techniques for predicting the degree of instability and estimating limit and bifurcation points for static and dynamic structural problems [6-11]. The use of secant stiffness operators has also been exploited by Kroplin and Dinkler to reinterpret the concept of consistent tangent operators in plasticity [7], [9].

One of the problems in using secant stiffness matrix based procedures is that the expression of this matrix is not unique and non symmetrical forms are typically

found unless a careful derivation is performed.

The first attempt to obtain the expression of the secant stiffness matrix in the displacement finite element context was apparently due to Mallet and Marcal [12] who presented a general approach for non linear finite element structural analysis based on a total Lagrangian (TL) description. Starting from the general expression of the total potential energy Mallet and Marcal expressed the force-balance equilibrium equation in the form

$$[\mathbf{K}_0 + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N}_1 + \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{N}_2]\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{K}_s\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{f} = 0 \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{f} is the total external force vector, \mathbf{K}_0 is the linear symmetric stiffness matrix and \mathbf{N}_1 and \mathbf{N}_2 are non linear symmetric stiffness matrices that depend linearly and quadratically on the total nodal displacements \mathbf{a} . The form of the secant stiffness matrix $\mathbf{K}_s = \mathbf{K}_0 + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N}_1 + \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{N}_2$ is therefore symmetric.

Later Ragasekaran and Murray [13] recognized that the expressions used by Mallet and Marcal to evaluate \mathbf{N}_1 and \mathbf{N}_2 in (1) were not unique and several non symmetric forms of \mathbf{K}_s were proposed. Following these ideas Felippa [14] presented a general parametric expression of the secant stiffness matrix. More recently Felippa and Crivelli [15] have re-formulated the expression of \mathbf{K}_s derived in [14] using a "core congruential" TL formulation based in the expression of the total potential energy in terms of the displacement gradients.

Other attempts to obtain "attractive" expressions of the secant stiffness matrix are due to Wood and Schrefler [16] who obtained a symmetrical form of \mathbf{K}_s equivalent to (1) using a B-notation. Quite recently Badawi and Cusens [17] have presented a simpler expression of the symmetric form derived by Wood and Schrefler. Again a TL description was used in all these cases.

In this paper a general parametric form of the secant stiffness matrix for non linear finite element analysis of solids is proposed. The secant matrix is formulated in an *incremental* form using a Generalized Lagrangian (GL) description so as to provide a non linear relationship between *finite increments* of nodal displacements and forces as

$$\mathbf{K}_s\Delta\mathbf{a} - \Delta\mathbf{f} = 0 \quad (2)$$

Eq. (2) is a more general secant expression than that given by (1) and it allows to derive different incremental iterative procedures. Moreover, it allows to directly obtain the tangent stiffness matrix as

$$\mathbf{K}_T = \lim_{\Delta\mathbf{a} \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{K}_s \quad (3)$$

in a straightforward manner. Non linear material effects can be also taken into account in the derivation of (2) using an hyperelastic constitutive model. A convenient symmetric expression of \mathbf{K}_s can be derived as a particular case of the general parametric form obtained.

The layout of the paper is the following: In the first part the basic geometric, kinematic and constitutive relationships using a *Generalized Lagrangian* description are presented. Then, starting from the virtual work expression the full incremental form of the finite element equations are derived and the corresponding secant stiffness matrix is obtained in a general non symmetric parametric form. A convenient symmetric expression is derived for a particular value of the parameters. The detailed form of the secant stiffness matrix for 3D solid elements is given. Different possibilities of application of the secant stiffness matrix for general non linear structural computations, stability and bifurcation analysis and the prediction of limit loads are then briefly discussed. In the last part of the paper the general formulation is particularized for pin joined bar structures and explicit expressions of all the relevant matrices are given as well as examples of application showing the possibilities of the secant stiffness approach for this kind of problems.

BASIC KINEMATIC EQUATIONS

The incremental finite element equation for solving non linear problems can be derived in a variety of ways. The most popular approach is probably to proceed to a direct linearization of the non linear virtual work equation. This leads to the expression of the so called tangent stiffness matrix, traditionally used in the context of Newton-Raphson solution algorithms. This procedure can also be interpreted in several more "rigorous" or "intuitive" equivalent ways like: second variation of the total energy potential, total differential, linear incrementation, Taylor expansion limited to linear terms, direct consequence of the Newton-Raphson method for solution of non linear equation systems, etc. This approach, in one or other form, has been used by an extensive number of authors both in the context of total Lagrangian (TL) or Updated Lagrangian (UL) descriptions. For references see, for example, the list of references of chapter 8 of Vol. 2 of [1] and chapter 6 of [2].

A second approach is to derive the incremental equations by subtracting the virtual work equations written for two equilibrium configurations and then linearizing the resulting equation. This method was originally suggested in the finite element context by Yaghmai [18] and then followed by others [19]–[22]. It has been shown by Frey [23], [24] that the final linearized equations are the same in both approaches if the displacement field is linearly interpolated in the displacement nodal unknowns in a standard finite element form.

In this paper we will exploit a less popular third alternative based in the development of the expression of the virtual work equation for an unknown incremental configuration at time $t + \Delta t$. This allows to obtain the *full incremental form* of the finite element equations from which the secant stiffness matrix (and subsequently the standard tangent matrix) can be derived.

We will consider a three dimensional body with initial volume 0V in equilibrium at a known actual configuration tV corresponding to time t under body forces tb , surface loads tt and point loads tp . When these forces are incremented the body changes its configuration from tV to ${}^{t+\Delta t}V$. The coordinates of the body in each

configuration are referred to the global Cartesian system x_1, x_2, x_3 (see Figure 1). The displacements at the actual configuration tV are defined as

$${}^t u_i = {}^t x_i - {}^o x_i \quad , \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (4a)$$

and the displacement increments from tV to ${}^{t+\Delta t}V$ as

$$\Delta u_i = {}^{t+\Delta t} x_i - {}^t x_i \quad (4b)$$

In (4a) and (4b) right indexes refer to the global coordinate axis along which the displacement is measured, while the left superindex denotes the configuration corresponding to each variable.




Figure 1. Deformation of a body in a stationary Cartesian coordinate system

The displacement and displacement increment vectors are defined as

$${}^t \mathbf{u} = [{}^t u_1, {}^t u_2, {}^t u_3]^T \quad , \quad \Delta \mathbf{u} = [\Delta u_1, \Delta u_2, \Delta u_3]^T \quad (4c)$$

Obviously, the total displacements at $t + \Delta t$ are

$${}^{t+\Delta t} \mathbf{u} = {}^t \mathbf{u} + \Delta \mathbf{u} \quad (4d)$$

A *Generalized Lagrangian* description will be used in which strains and stresses are referred to an intermediate reference configuration rV (see Figure 1). Thus the strain tensor at time $t + \Delta t$ referred to the configuration rV can be written as [25]

$${}^{t+\Delta t}{}_r \varepsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left({}^{t+\Delta t}{}_r u_{i,j} + {}^{t+\Delta t}{}_r u_{j,i} + \underline{{}^{t+\Delta t}{}_r u_{k,i}} \underline{{}^{t+\Delta t}{}_r u_{k,j}} \right) \quad (5)$$

where

$${}^{t+\Delta t}{}_r u_{i,j} = \frac{\partial {}^{t+\Delta t} u_i}{\partial {}^r x_j} \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3 \quad (6)$$

The left index in (5) and (6) denotes the configuration in which strains (and stresses) are referred. Note that for ${}^r V = {}^0 V$ eq.(5) yields precisely the well known expression of the Green-Lagrange strain tensor in the Total Lagrangian (TL) description. Also for ${}^r V = {}^t V$ the expression of the linear part of the Almansi strain tensor, typical of the updated Lagrangian (UL) formulation can be derived from (5).

The strain increments are obtained as

$${}^r \Delta \varepsilon_{ij} = {}^{t+\Delta t}{}_r \varepsilon_{ij} - {}^t \varepsilon_{ij} = {}^r e_{ij} + {}^r \eta_{ij} \quad (7)$$

where ${}^r e_{ij}$ and ${}^r \eta_{ij}$ are the first and second order strain increments. From (4d) and (7) it can be obtained

$${}^r e_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left({}^r \Delta u_{i,j} + {}^r \Delta u_{j,i} + \underline{{}^t u_{k,i}} \underline{{}^r \Delta u_{k,j}} + \underline{{}^r \Delta u_{k,i}} \underline{{}^t u_{k,j}} \right) \quad (8a)$$

$= 0 \quad \text{for} \quad {}^r V = {}^t V$

$${}^r \eta_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} {}^r \Delta u_{k,i} \quad {}^r \Delta u_{k,j} \quad (8b)$$

where

$${}^r \Delta u_{i,j} = \frac{\partial (\Delta u_i)}{\partial {}^r x_j} \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3 \quad (9)$$

Eqs. (8a) and (8b) are easily particularized for the TL and UL formulations simply by making $r = 0$ and $r = t$, respectively. Note, that the underlined terms in (8a) are zero in the UL formulation (${}^r V = {}^t V$) [2], [25].

The virtual strains are defined as the first variation of the strains in the configuration ${}^{t+\Delta t} V$. On the other hand, the displacements ${}^t u_i$ can be considered as fixed during the deformation increment and thus $\delta {}^t u_i = 0$. Taking this into account we can write

$$\delta {}^{t+\Delta t}{}_r \varepsilon_{ij} = \delta {}^r e_{ij} + \delta {}^r \eta_{ij} \quad (10)$$

where

$$\delta_r e_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_r \Delta u_{i,j} + \delta_r \Delta u_{j,i} + \underbrace{\overset{t}{r}u_{k,i} \delta_r \Delta u_{k,j} + \delta_r \Delta u_{k,i} \overset{t}{r}u_{k,j}}_{\text{-----}}) \quad (11a)$$

$$\delta_r \eta_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_r \Delta u_{k,i} \overset{r}{r} \Delta u_{k,j} + \overset{r}{r} \Delta u_{k,i} \delta_r \Delta u_{k,j}) \quad (11b)$$

with

$$\delta_r \Delta u_{i,j} = \frac{\partial(\delta \Delta u_i)}{\partial \overset{r}{r} x_j}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3 \quad (12)$$

where $\delta \Delta u_i$ are the virtual displacement increments. Again the underlined terms in (11a) are zero in an UL formulation.

Finally, the first and second order strain increments are defined in matrix form for 3D solids as

$$\overset{r}{r} \mathbf{e} = [\overset{r}{r} e_{11}, \overset{r}{r} e_{22}, \overset{r}{r} e_{33}, 2 \overset{r}{r} e_{12}, 2 \overset{r}{r} e_{13}, 2 \overset{r}{r} e_{23}]^T \quad (13a)$$

$$\overset{r}{r} \boldsymbol{\eta} = [\overset{r}{r} \eta_{11}, \overset{r}{r} \eta_{22}, \overset{r}{r} \eta_{33}, 2 \overset{r}{r} \eta_{12}, 2 \overset{r}{r} \eta_{13}, 2 \overset{r}{r} \eta_{23}]^T \quad (13b)$$

and their corresponding virtual expressions by

$$\delta_r \overset{r}{r} \mathbf{e} = [\delta_r \overset{r}{r} e_{11}, \delta_r \overset{r}{r} e_{22}, \delta_r \overset{r}{r} e_{33}, 2 \delta_r \overset{r}{r} e_{12}, 2 \delta_r \overset{r}{r} e_{13}, 2 \delta_r \overset{r}{r} e_{23}]^T \quad (14a)$$

$$\delta_r \overset{r}{r} \boldsymbol{\eta} = [\delta_r \overset{r}{r} \eta_{11}, \delta_r \overset{r}{r} \eta_{22}, \delta_r \overset{r}{r} \eta_{33}, 2 \delta_r \overset{r}{r} \eta_{12}, 2 \delta_r \overset{r}{r} \eta_{13}, 2 \delta_r \overset{r}{r} \eta_{23}]^T \quad (14b)$$

For convenience we will write the first and second order strain increment vectors as

$$\overset{r}{r} \mathbf{e} = [\mathbf{L}_0 + \overset{t}{r} \mathbf{L}_1(\overset{t}{r} \mathbf{g})] \overset{r}{r} \mathbf{g} \quad (15a)$$

$$\overset{r}{r} \boldsymbol{\eta} = \frac{1}{2} \overset{r}{r} \mathbf{L}_1(\overset{r}{r} \mathbf{g}) \overset{r}{r} \mathbf{g} \quad (15b)$$

where $\overset{r}{r} \mathbf{g}$ contains the displacement increment gradients as

$$\overset{r}{r} \mathbf{g} = \begin{Bmatrix} \overset{r}{r} \Delta \mathbf{u}_{,1} \\ \overset{r}{r} \Delta \mathbf{u}_{,2} \\ \overset{r}{r} \Delta \mathbf{u}_{,3} \end{Bmatrix} \quad (16a)$$

with

$${}^r\Delta\mathbf{u}_{,i} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial\Delta u_1}{\partial^r x_i} \\ \frac{\partial\Delta u_2}{\partial^r x_i} \\ \frac{\partial\Delta u_3}{\partial^r x_i} \end{array} \right\} \quad (16b)$$

\mathbf{L}_0 is a 6×9 rectangular matrix which contains ones and zeros and ${}^t\mathbf{L}_1$ is a displacement gradients dependent matrix. The form of these matrices is shown in Appendix I for the case of 3D solids). On the other hand ${}^r\mathbf{L}_1$ is a displacement increment gradients dependent matrix defined as

$${}^r\mathbf{L}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} {}^r\mathbf{g}^T\mathbf{H}_1 \\ {}^r\mathbf{g}^T\mathbf{H}_2 \\ \vdots \\ {}^r\mathbf{g}^T\mathbf{H}_6 \end{bmatrix} \quad (17)$$

The form of the displacement gradients independent matrices \mathbf{H}_i is also shown in Appendix I.

From (15) it can be easily found

$$\delta_r\mathbf{e} = [\mathbf{L}_0 + {}^t\mathbf{L}_1({}^t\mathbf{r}\mathbf{g})]\delta_r\mathbf{g} \quad (18a)$$

$$\delta_r\boldsymbol{\eta} = {}^r\mathbf{L}_1({}^r\mathbf{g})\delta_r\mathbf{g} \quad (18b)$$

Eqs.(18) will be useful to derive the corresponding finite element expressions in a next section.

STRESS FIELD AND CONSTITUTIVE EQUATION

The second Piola-Kirchhoff stresses in the configurations tV and ${}^{t+\Delta t}V$ referred to the configuration rV are written as

$${}^t\boldsymbol{\sigma} = [{}^t\sigma_{11}, {}^t\sigma_{22}, {}^t\sigma_{33}, {}^t\sigma_{12}, {}^t\sigma_{13}, {}^t\sigma_{23}]^T \quad (19a)$$

$${}^{t+\Delta t}\boldsymbol{\sigma} = [{}^{t+\Delta t}\sigma_{11}, {}^{t+\Delta t}\sigma_{22}, {}^{t+\Delta t}\sigma_{33}, {}^{t+\Delta t}\sigma_{12}, {}^{t+\Delta t}\sigma_{13}, {}^{t+\Delta t}\sigma_{23}]^T \quad (19b)$$

Note that for ${}^rV = {}^tV$, ${}^t\sigma_{ij}$ coincide with the Cauchy stresses in the actual configuration [2], [25].

The stresses in the updated configuration ${}^{t+\Delta t}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{ij}$ are obtained in an incremental form as

$${}^{t+\Delta t}\boldsymbol{\sigma} = {}^t\boldsymbol{\sigma} + {}^r\Delta\boldsymbol{\sigma} \quad (20)$$

where

$${}^r\Delta\boldsymbol{\sigma} = [{}^r\Delta\sigma_{11}, {}^r\Delta\sigma_{22}, {}^r\Delta\sigma_{33}, {}^r\Delta\sigma_{12}, {}^r\Delta\sigma_{13}, {}^r\Delta\sigma_{23}]^T \quad (21)$$

is the vector of second Piola-Kirchhoff stress increments referred to rV .

We will now assume a constitutive law relating the *finite* second Piola-Kirchhoff stress increments and the corresponding Green-Lagrange strain increments as

$${}^r\Delta\boldsymbol{\sigma} = {}^t_r\mathbf{D} {}^r\Delta\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \quad (22)$$

where ${}^t_r\mathbf{D}$ is the incremental constitutive matrix in the configuration tV and referred to rV . The form of this matrix can be obtained by adequate tensor transformation of the constitutive matrix referred to the initial configuration oV [2], [25].

Combining eqs. (22) and (7) yields

$${}^r\Delta\boldsymbol{\sigma} = {}^t_r\mathbf{D} ({}^r\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} + {}^r\boldsymbol{\eta}) \quad (23)$$

REMARK I. The incremental constitutive relationship (22) can be found for non linear material behaviour using a hyperelastic model [25], [27], [28].

VIRTUAL WORK EXPRESSION

Equilibrium at the updated configuration implies satisfaction of the Principle of Virtual Work (PVW) in ${}^{t+\Delta t}V$. This can be written in matrix form as [2]

$$\int_{{}^rV} \delta^{t+\Delta t} {}^r\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^T {}^{t+\Delta t} {}^r\boldsymbol{\sigma} dV = \int_{{}^rV} \delta^{t+\Delta t} \mathbf{u}^T {}^{t+\Delta t} \mathbf{b} dV \quad (24)$$

$$\text{where } {}^{t+\Delta t} \mathbf{b} = [{}^{t+\Delta t} b_1, {}^{t+\Delta t} b_2, {}^{t+\Delta t} b_3]^T \quad (25)$$

For simplicity only body forces \mathbf{b} are assumed to act in (24).

From eqs. (4d), (10), (20) and (23) and noting that $\delta^t u_i = 0$, eq. (24) can be rewritten as

$$\int_{{}^rV} [\delta_r \mathbf{e}^T {}^r\Delta\boldsymbol{\sigma} + \delta_r \boldsymbol{\eta}^T {}^r\Delta\boldsymbol{\sigma} + \delta_r \boldsymbol{\eta}^T {}^t_r\boldsymbol{\sigma}] dV = \int_{{}^rV} \delta \Delta \mathbf{u}^T {}^{t+\Delta t} \mathbf{b} dV - \int_{{}^rV} \delta_r \mathbf{e}^T {}^t_r\boldsymbol{\sigma} dV \quad (26)$$

Substituting (23) in (26) we can finally write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{rV} [\delta_r \mathbf{e}^T \underline{\dot{t}_r \mathbf{D}_r \mathbf{e}} + (\delta_r \mathbf{e}^T \underline{\dot{t}_r \mathbf{D}_r \eta} + \delta_r \eta^T \underline{\dot{t}_r \mathbf{D}_r \mathbf{e}}) + \delta_r \eta^T \underline{\dot{t}_r \mathbf{D}_r \eta} + \\
& \quad \underline{\delta_r \eta^T \dot{t}_r \sigma}] dV = \int_{rV} \delta \Delta \mathbf{u}^T \dot{t} + \Delta t \mathbf{b} dV - \int_{rV} \delta_r \mathbf{e}^T \dot{t}_r \sigma dV \quad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

Eq. (27) is the *full incremental form* of the PVW and it is also the basis for obtaining the incremental finite element equations. Note that the right hand side of (27) is independent of the displacement increments and it will lead to the expression of the out of balance or residual forces after simplification of the virtual displacement increments. On the other hand, all the terms in the left hand side are a function of the displacement increments. In particular note that the underlined terms in (27) contain quadratic and cubic expressions of the displacement increments. The consideration of these terms is the basis for the derivation of the secant stiffness matrix. A linearization of eq. (27) will neglect these terms, yielding the standard tangent stiffness matrix. The derivation of these two matrices for elasticity problems is presented in next section.

REMARK II. The form of the PVW in terms of the displacement increment gradients can be obtained simply by substituting eqs. (15) and (18) into (27). A compact form of this expression can be found in [15].

FINITE ELEMENT INTERPOLATION. DERIVATION OF THE SECANT STIFFNESS MATRIX FOR 3D ELASTICITY PROBLEMS

We will consider a discretization of a general solid in standard 3D isoparametric C^0 continuous finite elements with n nodes and nodal shape functions $N^k(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$ defined in the natural coordinate system ξ, η, ζ [1], [2].

The displacement and displacement increment fields within each element are defined by the standard interpolations

$$\mathbf{t}_u = \mathbf{N} \mathbf{t}_a \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{N} \Delta \mathbf{a} \quad (28)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{N} &= [\mathbf{N}^1, \mathbf{N}^2, \dots, \mathbf{N}^n] \quad ; \quad \mathbf{N}^k = N^k \mathbf{I}_3 \\
\mathbf{t}_a &= \begin{Bmatrix} t_{a^1} \\ \vdots \\ t_{a^n} \end{Bmatrix} \quad ; \quad \Delta \mathbf{a} = \begin{Bmatrix} \Delta a^1 \\ \vdots \\ \Delta a^n \end{Bmatrix} \quad ; \quad \begin{aligned} t_{a^k} &= [t_{u_1^k}, t_{u_2^k}, t_{u_3^k}]^T \\ \Delta a^k &= [\Delta u_1^k, \Delta u_2^k, \Delta u_3^k]^T \end{aligned} \quad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

are the shape function matrices and the displacement and displacement increment vectors of the element and of a node k , respectively and \mathbf{I}_3 is the 3×3 unit matrix.

Substitution of (28) into (16a) allows to express the vector of displacement increment gradients in terms of the nodal displacements increment as

$${}_r\mathbf{g} = {}_r\mathbf{G}\Delta\mathbf{a} \quad (30)$$

The form of the gradient matrix ${}_r\mathbf{G}$ for 3D elasticity problems can be seen in Box I.

Combining (30) and (15) gives

$${}_r\mathbf{e} = [\mathbf{L}_0 + {}^t{}_r\mathbf{L}_1] {}_r\mathbf{G}\Delta\mathbf{a} = {}^t{}_r\mathbf{B}_L\Delta\mathbf{a} \quad (31a)$$

$${}_r\boldsymbol{\eta} = \frac{1}{2} {}_r\mathbf{L}_1 {}_r\mathbf{G}\Delta\mathbf{a} = \frac{1}{2} {}_r\mathbf{B}_1\Delta\mathbf{a} \quad (31b)$$

where

$${}^t{}_r\mathbf{B}_L = [\mathbf{L}_0 + {}^t{}_r\mathbf{L}_1] {}_r\mathbf{G} = {}_r\mathbf{B}_{L_0} + {}^t{}_r\mathbf{B}_{L_1} \quad (32)$$

$${}_r\mathbf{B}_1 = {}_r\mathbf{L}_1 {}_r\mathbf{G} \quad (33)$$

are the first and second order strain increment matrices for each element.

REMARK III. Note that ${}_r\mathbf{B}_{L_0} = \mathbf{L}_0 {}_r\mathbf{G}$ is the standard displacement-independent strain matrix as derived from infinitesimal theory [1], [2] and ${}^t{}_r\mathbf{B}_{L_1} = {}^t{}_r\mathbf{L}_1 {}_r\mathbf{G}$ is the *displacement-dependent* part of the first order strain increment matrix.

REMARK IV. Matrices ${}^t{}_r\mathbf{B}_L$ and ${}_r\mathbf{B}_1$ can be computed node-wise in terms of the infinitesimal strain matrix in the following simple manner:

$${}^t{}_r\mathbf{B}_L^k({}^t\mathbf{a}) = {}_r\mathbf{B}_{L_0}^k[\mathbf{I}_3 + {}^t{}_r\mathbf{L}^T({}^t\mathbf{a})] = {}_r\mathbf{B}_{L_0}^k + {}_r\mathbf{B}_{L_1}^k \quad (34a)$$

$${}_r\mathbf{B}_1^k(\Delta\mathbf{a}) = {}_r\mathbf{B}_{L_0}^k {}_r\hat{\mathbf{L}}^T(\Delta\mathbf{a}) \quad (34b)$$

where ${}^t{}_r\mathbf{L}$ and ${}_r\hat{\mathbf{L}}$ are 3×3 matrices of the same form depending on the displacements ${}^t\mathbf{a}$ and the displacement increments $\Delta\mathbf{a}$, respectively. The form of all the matrices appearing in eqs. (34) is shown in Box II for the case of 3D elasticity. Note that ${}^t{}_r\mathbf{L} = 0$ in an UL formulation.

Eqs. (18) and (31) allow to obtain the virtual strain increments as

$$\delta_r\mathbf{e} = {}^t{}_r\mathbf{B}_L\delta(\Delta\mathbf{a}) \quad , \quad \delta_r\boldsymbol{\eta} = {}_r\mathbf{B}_1\delta(\Delta\mathbf{a}) \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_r\mathbf{g} &= \begin{Bmatrix} {}_r\Delta\mathbf{u}_{,1} \\ {}_r\Delta\mathbf{u}_{,2} \\ {}_r\Delta\mathbf{u}_{,3} \end{Bmatrix} \quad ; \quad {}_r\Delta\mathbf{u}_{,i} = \begin{Bmatrix} \frac{\partial\Delta u_1}{\partial^r x_i} \\ \frac{\partial\Delta u_2}{\partial^r x_i} \\ \frac{\partial\Delta u_3}{\partial^r x_i} \end{Bmatrix} \\
\boxed{{}_r\mathbf{g} = {}_r\mathbf{G}\Delta\mathbf{a}} \\
{}_r\mathbf{G} &= [{}_r\mathbf{G}^1, {}_r\mathbf{G}^2, \dots, {}_r\mathbf{G}^n] \\
{}_r\mathbf{G}^k &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial N^k}{\partial^r x_1} \mathbf{I}_3 \\ \frac{\partial N^k}{\partial^r x_2} \mathbf{I}_3 \\ \frac{\partial N^k}{\partial^r x_3} \mathbf{I}_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad \mathbf{I}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Box I. Expression of the gradient vector ${}_r\mathbf{g}$ and the gradient matrix ${}_r\mathbf{G}$ for 3D elasticity

Discretization of the incremental constitutive equation

Substituting (31) into (23) yields the constitutive equation in the form

$${}_r\Delta\boldsymbol{\sigma} = {}^t_r\mathbf{D} [{}^t_r\mathbf{B}_L + \frac{1}{2} {}_r\mathbf{B}_1] \Delta\mathbf{a} \quad (36a)$$

Making use of eq. (34a) and Box II allows to write (36a) as

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_r\Delta\boldsymbol{\sigma} &= {}^t_r\mathbf{D} {}_r \sum_k \mathbf{B}_{L_0}^k [\mathbf{I}_3 + {}^t_r\mathbf{L}^T({}^t\mathbf{a}) + \frac{1}{2} {}_r\hat{\mathbf{L}}^T(\Delta\mathbf{a})] \Delta\mathbf{a} = \\
&= {}_r\Delta\boldsymbol{\sigma}^i + {}^t_r\mathbf{D} \sum_k {}_r\mathbf{B}_{L_0}^k [{}^t_r\mathbf{L}^T({}^t\mathbf{a}) + \frac{1}{2} {}_r\hat{\mathbf{L}}^T(\Delta\mathbf{a})] \Delta\mathbf{a} \quad (36b)
\end{aligned}$$

where ${}_r\Delta\boldsymbol{\sigma}^i$ is the stress increment obtained from infinitesimal elasticity theory. Eq. (36b) can be useful for computational implementation.

Derivation of the secant stiffness matrix

By substituting the discretized finite element equations into the PVW (eq.(27)) a expression relating the total applied forces with the corresponding nodal displacement increments can be obtained, thus yielding the form of the incremental secant stiffness

$$\mathbf{r}e = {}^t\mathbf{B}_L \Delta \mathbf{a} \quad ; \quad \mathbf{r}\eta = \frac{1}{2} {}^r\mathbf{B}_1 \Delta \mathbf{a}$$

$${}^t\mathbf{B}_L = [{}^t\mathbf{B}_L^1, {}^t\mathbf{B}_L^2, \dots, {}^t\mathbf{B}_L^n]$$

$${}^r\mathbf{B}_1 = [{}^r\mathbf{B}_1^1, {}^r\mathbf{B}_1^2, \dots, {}^r\mathbf{B}_1^n]$$

$${}^t\mathbf{B}_L^k = {}^r\mathbf{B}_{L_0}^k + {}^t\mathbf{B}_{L_1}^k$$

$${}^r\mathbf{B}_1^k = {}^r\mathbf{B}_{L_0}^k {}^r\hat{\mathbf{L}}^T$$

$${}^r\mathbf{B}_{L_0}^k = \begin{bmatrix} {}^rN_{,1}^k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & {}^rN_{,2}^k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & {}^rN_{,3}^k \\ {}^rN_{,2}^k & {}^rN_{,1}^k & 0 \\ {}^rN_{,3}^k & 0 & {}^rN_{,1}^k \\ 0 & {}^rN_{,3}^k & {}^rN_{,2}^k \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad {}^t\mathbf{B}_{L_1}^k = {}^r\mathbf{B}_{L_0}^k {}^t\mathbf{L}^T$$

$${}^t\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^tl_{11} & {}^tl_{12} & {}^tl_{13} \\ {}^tl_{21} & {}^tl_{22} & {}^tl_{23} \\ {}^tl_{31} & {}^tl_{32} & {}^tl_{33} \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad {}^r\hat{\mathbf{L}} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^r\hat{l}_{11} & {}^r\hat{l}_{12} & {}^r\hat{l}_{13} \\ {}^r\hat{l}_{21} & {}^r\hat{l}_{22} & {}^r\hat{l}_{23} \\ {}^r\hat{l}_{31} & {}^r\hat{l}_{32} & {}^r\hat{l}_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$${}^rN_{,i}^k = \frac{\partial N^k}{\partial^r x_i} \quad , \quad {}^tl_{ij} = \frac{\partial {}^t u_i}{\partial^r x_j} \quad , \quad {}^r\hat{l}_{ij} = \frac{\partial \Delta u_i}{\partial^r x_j}$$

Box II. First and second order strain increment matrices for 3D elasticity

matrix. However, the expression of this matrix is not unique and generally non symmetric. A method for deriving a parametric expression of the incremental secant stiffness matrix is presented next.

The terms involving displacement increments in the left hand side of the PVW expression, eq. (27), can be written after some algebra (see Appendices II and III), using eqs.(31), (35) and (36a), as

$$\delta_r \mathbf{e}^T {}^t\mathbf{D}_r \mathbf{e}_r = \delta(\Delta \mathbf{a})^T \left[{}^t\mathbf{B}_L^T {}^t\mathbf{D} {}^t\mathbf{B}_L \right] \Delta \mathbf{a} \quad (37a)$$

$$\delta_r \eta^T {}^t \mathbf{D}_r \mathbf{e} + \delta_r \mathbf{e}^T {}^t \mathbf{D}_r \eta = \delta(\Delta \mathbf{a})^T \left[\frac{1}{2} {}^t \mathbf{B}_L^T {}^t \mathbf{D} {}_r \mathbf{B}_1 + \right. \\ \left. + \alpha {}_r \mathbf{B}_1^T {}^t \mathbf{D} {}^t \mathbf{B}_L + (1 - \alpha) {}_r \mathbf{G}^T {}^t \mathbf{E} {}_r \mathbf{G} \right] \Delta \mathbf{a} \quad (37b)$$

$$\delta_r \eta^T {}^t \mathbf{D}_r \eta = \delta(\Delta \mathbf{a})^T \left[\frac{1}{4} (2 - \beta) {}_r \mathbf{B}_1^T {}^t \mathbf{D} {}_r \mathbf{B}_1 + \frac{\beta}{4} {}_r \mathbf{G}^T {}^t \mathbf{H} {}_r \mathbf{G} \right] \Delta \mathbf{a} \quad (37c)$$

$$\delta_r \eta^T {}^t \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \delta(\Delta \mathbf{a})^T \left[{}_r \mathbf{B}_{NL}^T {}^t \mathbf{S} {}_r \mathbf{B}_{NL} \right] \Delta \mathbf{a} \quad (37d)$$

In (37) all the matrices and vectors have been previously defined with the exception of ${}^t \mathbf{E}$, ${}_r \mathbf{B}_{NL}$, ${}^t \mathbf{H}$ and ${}^t \mathbf{S}$ which are shown in Box III for the case of 3D elasticity.

$${}^t \mathbf{E} = \begin{bmatrix} a \mathbf{I}_3 & d \mathbf{I}_3 & e \mathbf{I}_3 \\ & b \mathbf{I}_3 & f \mathbf{I}_3 \\ \text{sym.} & & c \mathbf{I}_3 \end{bmatrix} ; [a, b, c, d, e, f]^T = {}^t \mathbf{D} {}_r \mathbf{e}$$

$${}_r \mathbf{B}_{NL} = \begin{bmatrix} {}_r \bar{\mathbf{B}}_{NL} & \bar{\mathbf{0}} & \bar{\mathbf{0}} \\ \bar{\mathbf{0}} & {}_r \bar{\mathbf{B}}_{NL} & \bar{\mathbf{0}} \\ \bar{\mathbf{0}} & \bar{\mathbf{0}} & {}_r \bar{\mathbf{B}}_{NL} \end{bmatrix} ; {}_r \bar{\mathbf{B}}_{NL} = \begin{bmatrix} {}_r N_{,1}^1 & 0 & 0 & {}_r N_{,1}^2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & {}_r N_{,1}^n \\ {}_r N_{,2}^1 & 0 & 0 & {}_r N_{,2}^2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & {}_r N_{,2}^n \\ {}_r N_{,3}^1 & 0 & 0 & {}_r N_{,3}^2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & {}_r N_{,3}^n \end{bmatrix}$$

$${}^t \mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^t \bar{\mathbf{S}} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & {}^t \bar{\mathbf{S}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & {}^t \bar{\mathbf{S}} \end{bmatrix} ; {}^t \bar{\mathbf{S}} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^t \sigma_{11} & {}^t \sigma_{12} & {}^t \sigma_{13} \\ {}^t \sigma_{12} & {}^t \sigma_{22} & {}^t \sigma_{23} \\ {}^t \sigma_{13} & {}^t \sigma_{23} & {}^t \sigma_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ; \bar{\mathbf{0}} = \begin{Bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix} ; {}_r N_{,i}^k = \frac{\partial N^k}{\partial {}^r x_i}$$

$${}^t \mathbf{H} = \sum_{i=1}^6 \sum_{j=1}^6 {}^t d_{ijr} \eta_j \mathbf{H}_i ; {}_r \eta_j = {}_r \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{H}_j {}_r \mathbf{g}$$

${}^t d_{ij}$: element ij of constitutive matrix ${}^t \mathbf{D}$

Box III. Matrices ${}^t \mathbf{E}$, ${}_r \mathbf{B}_{NL}$, ${}^t \mathbf{S}$ and ${}^t \mathbf{H}$ for 3D elasticity.

Substituting eqs.(37) in (27) the following secant expression is obtained, after simplification of the arbitrary virtual displacement increments,

$${}^t_r\mathbf{K}_s(\Delta\mathbf{a})\Delta\mathbf{a} = - {}^{t+\Delta t}_r\mathbf{f} \quad (38)$$

In eq. (38) ${}^t_r\mathbf{f}$ is the standard residual force vector [1], [2] which can be written for each element with volume ${}_rV^{(e)}$ as

$${}^{t+\Delta t}_r\mathbf{f}^{(e)} = \int_{{}_rV^{(e)}} {}^t_r\mathbf{B}_L^T {}^t_r\boldsymbol{\sigma} dV - {}^{t+\Delta t}_r\mathbf{f}^{(e)} \quad (39a)$$

$$\text{with } {}^{t+\Delta t}_r\mathbf{f}^{(e)} = \int_{{}_rV^{(e)}} \mathbf{N} {}^{t+\Delta t}_r\mathbf{b} dV \quad (39b)$$

being the equivalent nodal force vector for the element, and ${}^t_r\mathbf{K}_s$ is the incremental *secant stiffness matrix* which can be written as

$$\boxed{{}^t_r\mathbf{K}_s(\Delta\mathbf{a}) = {}^t_r\mathbf{K}_L + {}^t_r\mathbf{K}_M(\Delta\mathbf{a}) + {}^t_r\mathbf{K}_N(\Delta\mathbf{a}^2) + {}^t_r\mathbf{K}_\sigma} \quad (40)$$

where for each element

$${}^t_r\mathbf{K}_L = \int_{{}_rV^{(e)}} {}^t_r\mathbf{B}_L^T {}^t_r\mathbf{D} {}^t_r\mathbf{B}_L dV \quad (41a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^t_r\mathbf{K}_M(\Delta\mathbf{a}) = \int_{{}_rV^{(e)}} & \left[\frac{1}{2} {}^t_r\mathbf{B}_L^T {}^t_r\mathbf{D} {}_r\mathbf{B}_1 + \alpha {}_r\mathbf{B}_1^T {}^t_r\mathbf{D} {}^t_r\mathbf{B}_L + \right. \\ & \left. + (1 - \alpha) {}_r\mathbf{G}^T {}^t_r\mathbf{E}_r \mathbf{G} \right] dV \end{aligned} \quad (41b)$$

$${}^t_r\mathbf{K}_N(\Delta\mathbf{a}^2) = \int_{{}_rV^{(e)}} \left[\frac{1}{4} (2 - \beta) {}_r\mathbf{B}_1^T {}^t_r\mathbf{D} {}_r\mathbf{B}_1 + \frac{\beta}{4} {}_r\mathbf{G}^T {}^t_r\mathbf{H} {}_r\mathbf{G} \right] dV \quad (41c)$$

$${}^t_r\mathbf{K}_\sigma = \int_{{}_rV^{(e)}} {}_r\mathbf{B}_{NL}^T {}^t_r\mathbf{S} {}_r\mathbf{B}_{NL} dV \quad (41d)$$

The global secant stiffness matrix and the residual force vector for the whole structure are assembled from the individual element contributions in the standard manner [1], [2].

REMARK V. A similar parametric form of the secant stiffness matrix relating total displacements and external forces was deduced by Felippa and Crivelli [15] using a core “congruential formulation” and a TL description. The secant expression deduced here can be considered an extension of the work carried out in [15] as it relates finite displacement *increments* and residual forces using a GL description.

REMARK VI. Note that the expression of the incremental secant stiffness matrix is non symmetric for values of $\alpha \neq 1/2$. An infinite set of *symmetric forms* is obtained for $\alpha = 1/2$ depending on the values of the parameter β . The particular symmetric expression of the incremental secant stiffness matrix for $\alpha = 1/2$, $\beta = 0$ was derived by Oñate [5] also using a GL description. Other symmetric forms of the secant stiffness matrix relating *total displacements* and applied forces using a TL description have been proposed in [12-17].

REMARK VII. Note that in (41) ${}^t\mathbf{K}_L$ and ${}^t\mathbf{K}_\sigma$ are the standard finite element initial displacement and initial stress matrices, respectively [1], [2]. The new matrices ${}^t\mathbf{K}_M$ and ${}^t\mathbf{K}_N$ are a linear and quadratic function of the displacement increments respectively and their contribution will be zero in a linearization of the PVW.

By substituting eq. (34a) into (41a) the computation of the initial displacement matrix ${}^t\mathbf{K}_L$ can be splitted up in the usual manner as [1]

$${}^t\mathbf{K}_L = {}^t\mathbf{K}_{L_0} + {}^t\mathbf{K}_{L_1} \quad (42)$$

where

$${}^t\mathbf{K}_{L_0}^{kj} = \int_{rV(\epsilon)} {}^t\mathbf{H}_0^{kj} dV \quad (43)$$

with ${}^t\mathbf{H}_0^{kj} = [{}^r\mathbf{B}_{L_0}^k]^T {}^t\mathbf{D} {}^r\mathbf{B}_{L_0}^j$, is the standard contribution to the stiffness matrix from the infinitesimal theory [1] and

$${}^t\mathbf{K}_{L_1}^{kj} = \int_{rV(\epsilon)} [{}^t\mathbf{H}_0^{kj} {}^t\mathbf{L}^T + {}^t\mathbf{L} [{}^t\mathbf{H}_0^{kj}]^T + {}^t\mathbf{L} {}^t\mathbf{H}_0^{kj} {}^t\mathbf{L}^T] dV \quad (44)$$

where ${}^t\mathbf{L}({}^t\mathbf{a})$ was defined in Box II.

REMARK VIII. Eqs.(41)–(44) can be particularized for the TL and UL formulations by making ${}^rV = {}^\circ V$ and ${}^rV = {}^tV$ respectively. Note that in the later case matrix ${}^t\mathbf{K}_{L_1} = \mathbf{0}$ due to the fact that ${}^t\mathbf{L}$ is then a null matrix as previously mentioned.

DERIVATION OF THE TANGENT STIFFNESS MATRIX

The standard way of obtaining the expression of the tangent stiffness matrix is to linearize the PVW expression. This implies neglecting the underlined terms in eq. (27) containing quadratic and cubic terms in the displacement increments. An alternative method, which should lead to identical results, is to derive the tangent matrix as the limit of the incremental secant matrix when the values of

the displacement increments tend to zero. Thus starting from the expression of ${}^t_r\mathbf{K}_s$ of eq.(40) we can write

$${}^t_r\mathbf{K}_T = \lim_{\Delta\mathbf{a} \rightarrow 0} {}^t_r\mathbf{K}_s = {}^t_r\mathbf{K}_L + {}^t_r\mathbf{K}_\sigma \quad (45)$$

where ${}^t_r\mathbf{K}_T$ is the standard tangent stiffness matrix [1], [2].

POSSIBILITIES OF APPLICATION OF THE SECANT MATRIX

Eq.(38) can be used as a *total secant* equation to solve the displacements between the initial load-free configuration and any deformed one using a direct iteration scheme (see Figure 2a) as

$${}^{t+\Delta t}\mathbf{a}^{i+1} = \left[{}^t_r\mathbf{K}_s({}^{t+\Delta t}\mathbf{a}^i) \right]^{-1} {}^{t+\Delta t}\mathbf{f} \quad (46)$$

where the superindex i denotes the iteration number and ${}^{t+\Delta t}\mathbf{f}$ is the nodal force vector due to the total load applied to the structure. In this case the option ${}^rV = {}^oV$ seems the most convenient one.

Solution of (46) follows until an adequate error norm in the displacement increments is satisfied. Obviously the efficiency of this approach will very much depend on the well known limitations of the direct iteration scheme to solve non linear problems.

Eq.(38) is also the starting point for deriving an *updated total secant* approach. This implies dividing the total applied force in several increments and obtaining the displacement increments between two equilibrium configurations by using a total secant algorithm (see Figure 2b) as

$$\Delta\mathbf{a}^{i+1} = \left[{}^t_r\mathbf{K}_s(\Delta\mathbf{a}^i) \right]^{-1} \Delta\mathbf{f} \quad (47)$$

A third alternative is to use an *incremental secant* approach. (Figure 2c) This is based in the iterative solution of (38) by

$$\Delta\mathbf{a}^i = - \left[{}^t_r\mathbf{K}_s({}^{t+\Delta t}\mathbf{a}^i, \Delta\mathbf{a}^{i-1}) \right]^{-1} {}^{t+\Delta t}\mathbf{r}^i \quad (48a)$$

$${}^{t+\Delta t}\mathbf{a}^{i+1} = {}^{t+\Delta t}\mathbf{a}^i + \Delta\mathbf{a}^i \quad (48b)$$

with ${}^{t+\Delta t}\mathbf{a}^0 = {}^t\mathbf{a}$ and $\Delta\mathbf{a}^{-1} = \mathbf{0}$. Convergence of this algorithm is controlled by means of satisfaction of any of the standard error norms in the displacement increments or the residual force vector [1], [2].

Another interesting application of the secant stiffness matrix is the direct computation of the residual force vector from the known values of the displacement increments (see eq.(38)). This circumvents the need for stress computations for evaluating the residual vector (i.e. for convergence checking) which might prove to be useful in some situations.




Figure 2. Total, secant and incremental secant approaches ($P(a) = K_s(a)a$)

The structure of the secant stiffness matrix allows to combine the use of secant and tangent iteration algorithms in a simple unified manner. This should allow to take advantage of the best properties of both algorithm families to improve the solution of complex non linear problems.

Applications to predict the degree of structural stability

The secant stiffness matrix can be used to find the distance from an equilibrium point in the pre-buckling state to the nearest point in the neighbouring equilibrium post-buckling state, i.e. the distance between points A and B in Figure 3. It is obvious that in this case the increment of external forces is zero and eq. (2) reads

$${}^t_r K_s({}^t_a, \Delta a) \Delta a = 0 \quad (49)$$

which can be formulated as the following eigenvalue problem

$$[{}^t_r K_L({}^t_a) + {}^t_r K_\sigma({}^t_\sigma) + \lambda {}^t_r K_M(\Delta a) + \lambda^2 {}^t_r K_N(\Delta a^2)] \Delta a = 0 \quad (50)$$

Eq. (50) is a quadratic eigenvalue problem which can be solved using iterative techniques.

This procedure has been successfully exploited by Kroplin et al. [6],[7] to define the degree of stability of a structure as

$$\gamma = \frac{\Pi_{\text{crit}} - \Pi^e}{\Pi_{\text{crit}}} \begin{array}{l} > 0 & \text{stable} \\ = 0 & \text{indiferent} \\ < 0 & \text{instable} \end{array} \quad (51)$$




Figure 3. Examples of limit and bifurcation points

where Π_{crit} is the minimum energy to push the structure to the nearest post-buckling state (i.e. from A to B in Figure (3)) and Π^e is the energy of the external perturbation. The value of Π_{crit} can be computed as

$$\Pi_{\text{crit}} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{a}^T {}^t_r \mathbf{K}^u \Delta \mathbf{a} - \Delta \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{f} \quad (52)$$

where \mathbf{f} is the external nodal force vector, $\Delta \mathbf{a}$ is the minimum displacement increment obtained from (50) and \mathbf{K}^u is the *energy stiffness matrix* given by

$${}^t_r \mathbf{K}^u = {}^t_r \mathbf{K}_L + {}^t_r \mathbf{K}_\sigma + {}^t_r \bar{\mathbf{K}}_M + {}^t_r \bar{\mathbf{K}}_N \quad (53)$$

with ${}^t_r \mathbf{K}_L$ and ${}^t_r \mathbf{K}_\sigma$ defined as in (41) and

$$\begin{aligned} {}^t_r \bar{\mathbf{K}}_M = \int_{rV(e)} \left[\frac{\phi}{2} \left({}^t_r \mathbf{B}_L^T {}^t_r \mathbf{D} {}^t_r \mathbf{B}_1 + {}^t_r \mathbf{B}_1^T {}^t_r \mathbf{D} {}^t_r \mathbf{B}_L \right) + \right. \\ \left. + (1 - \phi) {}^t_r \mathbf{G} {}^t_r \mathbf{E} {}^t_r \mathbf{G} \right] dV \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

$${}^t_r \bar{\mathbf{K}}_N = \int_{rV(e)} \left[\frac{\gamma}{4} {}^t_r \mathbf{B}_1^T {}^t_r \mathbf{D} {}^t_r \mathbf{B}_1 + \frac{1}{4} (1 - \gamma) {}^t_r \mathbf{G}^T {}^t_r \mathbf{H} {}^t_r \mathbf{G} \right] dV \quad (55)$$

in (54) and (55) ϕ and γ are arbitrary parameters. Note, that ${}^t_r\mathbf{K}^u$ is always symmetric as expected.

The derivation of ${}^t_r\mathbf{K}^u$ follows identical arguments than those used previously to derive the expression of ${}^t_r\mathbf{K}_s$. A similar form of ${}^t_r\mathbf{K}^u$ using a TL formulation is given in [15].

Estimates for limit and bifurcation points

The condition of limit and bifurcation points is the singularity of the tangent stiffness matrix ${}^t_r\mathbf{K}_T$. Having solved the non linear problem in (50) the point of vanishing tangent operator can be found at an intermediate position between points A and B (see Figure 3). Taking for instance the middle point as an estimate, the critical load is found using (2) as

$$\Delta f_{\text{crit}} = \left[{}^t_r\mathbf{K}_L({}^t\mathbf{a}) + {}^t_r\mathbf{K}_\sigma({}^t\sigma) + {}^t_r\mathbf{K}_M\left(\frac{\Delta\mathbf{a}}{2}\right) + {}^t_r\mathbf{K}_N\left(\frac{\Delta\mathbf{a}^2}{4}\right) \right] \frac{\Delta\mathbf{a}}{2} \quad (56)$$

| **REMARK IX.** The value $\frac{\Delta\mathbf{a}}{2}$ is found to be an exact estimate if a mixed formulation is used [6], [7].

An alternative procedure which avoids the solution of the non linear eigenvalue problem (50) is estimating the *critical displacement values* instead of those of the forces as done in classical limit load theory. The displacement vector in the limit state is splitted now as

$${}^{t+\Delta t_c}\mathbf{a} = {}^t\mathbf{a} + \Delta\mathbf{a} \quad (57)$$

where $\Delta\mathbf{a} = \lambda\phi$ with ϕ being an estimate of the buckling pattern in the limit point ($\phi = {}^t\mathbf{a}$, the first eigenmode in ${}^t\mathbf{a}$ or the last converged value of the displacement increment vector can be taken) and λ is a multiplier. Introducing (54) in the expression of the tangent matrix (45) and requiring this to be singular at ${}^{t+\Delta t_c}\mathbf{a}$ gives (see Appendix II).

$$|{}^{t+\Delta t_c}{}_r\mathbf{K}_T| = {}^t_r\mathbf{K}_T + \lambda[{}^t_r\mathbf{K}_{L_2} + {}^t_r\mathbf{K}_{\sigma_1}] + \lambda^2[{}^t_r\mathbf{K}_{L_3} + {}^t_r\mathbf{K}_{\sigma_2}] \quad (58)$$

where the form of matrices ${}^t_r\mathbf{K}_{L_2}$, ${}^t_r\mathbf{K}_{L_3}$, ${}^t_r\mathbf{K}_{\sigma_1}$ and ${}^t_r\mathbf{K}_{\sigma_2}$ can be found in Appendix IV.

Eq. (58) can be solved for λ and the corresponding eigenvector ϕ . Obviously the solution of eq. (58) can be simplified further neglecting the quadratic term in λ .

The critical load increment is now estimated using the secant relationship

$$\Delta f_{\text{crit}} = [{}^t_r\mathbf{K}_T({}^t\mathbf{a}) + {}^t_r\mathbf{K}_M(\lambda\phi) + {}^t_r\mathbf{K}_N(\lambda^2\phi^2) + {}^t_r\mathbf{K}_\sigma]\lambda\phi \quad (59)$$

This procedure was first proposed by Kroplin [11] in the context of a mixed formulation.

APPLICATION TO THE ANALYSIS OF 3D TRUSSES

We will consider a 3D straight bar element defined in a cartesian frame $x_i (i = 1, 3)$ and subjected to axial forces only. A local coordinate system x'_1, x'_2, x'_3 is also defined where x'_1 is aligned with the bar direction and x'_2 and x'_3 are any two orthogonal vectors contained in a plane orthogonal to x'_1 (see Figure 4a).

For the sake of preciseness we will use here an Updated Lagrangian formulation (${}^rV = {}^tV$). The first and second order axial strain increments can be defined as

$${}^te'_{11} = \frac{d(\Delta u'_1)}{d {}^tx'_1} \quad ; \quad {}^t\eta'_{11} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d(\Delta u'_1)}{d {}^tx'_1} \frac{d(\Delta u'_1)}{d {}^tx'_1} \quad (60)$$

where $\Delta u'_1$ is the displacement increment along the local axe ${}^tu'_1$.




Figure 4. (a) 3D bar element for truss analysis (b) Linear 2 node bar element

The constitutive equation is now written in the simpler form

$${}^t\Delta N = {}^t[EA][{}^te'_{11} + {}^t\eta'_{11}] \quad (61)$$

where ${}^t\Delta N$ is the axial force increment and tE and tA are respectively the Young modulus and the area of the bar cross section at the configuration t .

Local and global displacement increments are related by the standard transformation

$$\Delta u'_j = \frac{\partial {}^tx_i}{\partial {}^tx'_j} \Delta u_i \quad ; \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3 \quad (62)$$

The finite element interpolation is defined in the usual manner. Thus, if n noded one dimensional isoparametric elements are used we can write

$$\Delta u_i = \sum_{k=1}^n N^k(\xi) \Delta u_i^k \quad ; \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (63)$$

Substitution of (62) and (63) into (60) yields the expression of the strain matrices ${}^t\mathbf{B}_L$ and ${}^t\mathbf{B}_1$ shown in Box IV together with the rest of the relevant matrices necessary for computation of the secant stiffness matrix via eqs.(40) and (41).

${}^t e'_{11} = {}^t \mathbf{B}_L \Delta \mathbf{a}$	${}^t \mathbf{B}_L = \frac{1}{tJ^2} {}^t \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{N}_{,\xi}^T \mathbf{N}_{,\xi}$
${}^t \eta'_{11} = {}^t \mathbf{B}_1 \Delta \mathbf{a}$	${}^t \mathbf{B}_1 = \frac{1}{tJ^2} \Delta \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{N}_{,\xi}^T \mathbf{N}_{,\xi}$
${}^t \mathbf{B}_{NL} = \frac{1}{tJ} \mathbf{N}_{,\xi}$	
${}^t \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{N}_{,\xi}$	
${}^t \mathbf{E} = \frac{d(\Delta u'_1)}{d^t x'_1} {}^t [EA] \mathbf{I}_3 = \frac{{}^t [EA]}{tJ^2} {}^t \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{N}_{,\xi}^T \mathbf{N}_{,\xi} \Delta \mathbf{a}$	
$\mathbf{N}_{,\xi} = \left[\frac{dN^1}{d\xi} \mathbf{I}_3, \dots, \frac{dN^n}{d\xi} \mathbf{I}_3 \right]$ ${}^t \mathbf{x} = [{}^t x_1^1, {}^t x_2^1, {}^t x_3^1, \dots, {}^t x_1^n, {}^t x_2^n, {}^t x_3^n]^T$ $\Delta \mathbf{a} = [\Delta u_1^1, \Delta u_2^1, \Delta u_3^1, \dots, \Delta u_1^n, \Delta u_2^n, \Delta u_3^n]^T$ ${}^t J = \frac{d^t x'_1}{d\xi} \quad \left(\text{usually } {}^t J = \frac{{}^t l(e)}{2} \right) \quad ; \quad \mathbf{I}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	

Box IV. Relevant expressions involved in the computation of the secant stiffness matrix for 3D bar elements.

Particularization for the linear bar element

The simplest and most widely used element for truss analysis is the 2 node one dimensional bar element with linear shape functions $N_i = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \xi\xi_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ (see Figure 4b).

The simplicity of the element allows to obtain an explicit form of the different matrices involved in eq.(40) for the computation of the secant stiffness matrix. A symmetric form with $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\beta = 0$ has been chosen here. The exact expression of these matrices for the case of constant cross section and homogeneous material is shown in Box V.

Example 1. 2 bar truss under central point load

The secant stiffness formulation developed in previous section will be applied to the well known example of a simple two bar truss structure under a symmetrical vertical point load as shown in Figure 5. Due to the symmetry of the problem only one degree of freedom, the vertical displacement of node 2, is involved.

The relationship between the external vertical force P and the angle rotated by the bar θ is given in "exact" form by [26]

$$P = 2EA[\sin \theta - \cos \theta_0 \tan \theta]$$

where θ is the angle which the bars form with the horizontal axis; θ_0 is the value of θ in the absence of the external load, where $\theta_0 = 15^\circ$ in this case. The values of the critical angles and the critical load are simply obtained from the condition $\frac{dP}{d\theta} = 0$ as

$$\theta_{\text{crit}} = \arccos(\cos\theta_0)^{1/3} = \pm 8.6937^\circ \quad (64)$$

$$P_{\text{crit}} = 2EA(\cos\theta_0)(\tan\theta_{\text{crit}})^3 = \pm 69.068 \quad (65)$$

The "exact" critical vertical displacement of node 2 is thus

$$(v_2)_{\text{crit}} = \pm l_0 \cos\theta_0 \tan\theta_{\text{crit}} - l_0 \sin\theta_0 = \begin{cases} -1.1112 \\ -4.0652 \end{cases} \quad (66)$$

where l_0 is the initial bar length.

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^t\mathbf{K}_{Lij} &= {}^t \left[\frac{EA}{l^3} \right] (-1)^{i+j} \begin{bmatrix} {}^t(x_{12})^2 & {}^t x_{12} {}^t y_{12} & {}^t x_{12} {}^t z_{12} \\ & {}^t(y_{12})^2 & {}^t y_{12} {}^t z_{12} \\ \text{sym.} & & {}^t(z_{12})^2 \end{bmatrix} \\
{}^t\mathbf{K}_{Mij} &= {}^t \left[\frac{EA}{2l^3} \right] (-1)^{i+j} \begin{bmatrix} 2 {}^t x_{12} u_{12} & ({}^t x_{12} v_{12} + {}^t y_{12} u_{12}) & ({}^t x_{12} w_{12} + {}^t z_{12} u_{12}) \\ & 2 {}^t y_{12} v_{12} & ({}^t y_{12} w_{12} + {}^t z_{12} v_{12}) \\ \text{sym.} & & 2 {}^t z_{12} w_{12} \end{bmatrix} + \\
&\quad + {}^t \left[\frac{EA}{2l^3} \right] (-1)^{i+j} [{}^t x_{12} u_{12} + {}^t y_{12} v_{12} + {}^t z_{12} w_{12}] \mathbf{I}_3 \\
{}^t\mathbf{K}_{Nij} &= {}^t \left[\frac{EA}{2l^3} \right] (-1)^{i+j} \begin{bmatrix} (u_{12})^2 & u_{12} v_{12} & u_{12} w_{12} \\ & (v_{12})^2 & v_{12} w_{12} \\ \text{sym.} & & (w_{12})^2 \end{bmatrix} \\
{}^t\mathbf{K}_{\sigma ij} &= {}^t \left[\frac{N}{l} \right] (-1)^{i+j} \mathbf{I}_3 \\
{}^t x_{12} &= {}^t x_1 - {}^t x_2, \quad u_{12} = \Delta u_1 - \Delta u_2 \quad \text{etc.} \quad \mathbf{I}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Box V. Expressions of the different matrices involved in the computation of the secant stiffness matrix for the two node bar element.

Finite element solution

From the expressions of Box V the secant equilibrium equation (38) can be written in the form

$$\left[{}^t k {}^t S^2 + \frac{3}{2} {}^t \left(\frac{k}{l} \right) {}^t S \Delta v_2 + \frac{{}^t k}{2 {}^t l^2} (\Delta v_2)^2 + \frac{{}^t N}{{}^t l} \right] \Delta v_2 = -\frac{{}^{t+\Delta t} P}{2} + {}^t N {}^t S \quad (67)$$

where Δv_2 is the increment of vertical displacement of node 2, ${}^{t+\Delta t} P$ the total vertical load applied, ${}^t N$ the corresponding axial force in the bar 1-2. Also in (67)

$${}^t k = {}^t \left(\frac{EA}{l} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad {}^t S = \sin {}^t \theta = -{}^t \left(\frac{y_{21}}{l} \right) \quad (68)$$

Eq.(67) is a cubic polynomial in Δv_2 relating the total vertical load applied and the corresponding displacement increment. If a *total secant algorithm* is used with the

unloaded configuration taken as the initial configuration ${}^t l = {}^o l$, ${}^t k = {}^o k$, ${}^t S = {}^o S$, $\Delta v_2 = {}^{t+\Delta t} v_2 = v_2$, ${}^t N = 0$ and ${}^{t+\Delta t} P = P$.

$$\left[{}^o k ({}^o S)^2 + \frac{3}{2} {}^o \left(\frac{k}{l} \right) {}^o S v_2 + \frac{{}^o k}{2({}^o l)^2} (v_2)^2 \right] v_2 = -\frac{P}{2} \quad (69)$$

Eq.(69) can be solved by direct iteration for values of v_2 for each load level P . The load-displacement curve obtained is displayed in bold line in Figure 5. Clearly the numerical computation of the descending branch of the load-displacement curve requires the use of arch length or similar displacement control techniques [2].

In particular, the solution of (69) for $P = 0$ yields

$\begin{aligned} v_2 &= 0 \\ v_2 &= -{}^o l {}^o S \\ v_2 &= -2 {}^o l {}^o S \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned} &= -2.5882 \\ &= -5.1764 \end{aligned}$	(70)
--	--	------

which corresponds exactly with the three intersecting points of the load-displacement curve with the horizontal axis, $P = 0$.

Solution of eq.(69) for any value of P yields all the possible vertical displacement solutions (≤ 3) obtained by intersecting the load-displacement curve of Figure 5 with a horizontal line $P = \bar{P}$.

Axial force-displacement relationship

The axial force-displacement relationship can be obtained from eq.(61) and the expressions of Box IV (taking into account that $\frac{\partial N_i}{\partial \xi} = \frac{(-1)^i}{2}$). Thus using a *total secant approach* gives

$$N = {}^o \left(\frac{EA}{l} \right) \left[{}^o S + \frac{v_2}{2 {}^o l} \right] v_2 \quad (71)$$

where $N = {}^{t+\Delta t} N$.

From (71) it is found that the axial force in the bar reaches a maximum compression value for $v_2 = -{}^o l {}^o S$ and

$N_{\max} = -\frac{1}{2} {}^o (EA) ({}^o S)^2$	$= -334.9365 \text{ (exact)}$	(72)
--	-------------------------------	------

Also from (71) we see that for $v_2 = -2 {}^o l {}^o S$ the axial force is zero and for $v_2 < -2 {}^o l {}^o S$ the axial force has an increasingly positive (traction) value as shown in Figure 6. A plot of the axial force in the bar versus the applied external load is also shown in Figure 6.




Figure 5. Two bar truss under point load. Geometry, load-displacement curve and limit-load estimates




Figure 6. Two bar truss under point load. Axial force versus displacement and load curves

Computation of critical points

The critical points are obtained from the condition $\frac{dP}{dv_2} = 0$. Thus, using (69) gives

$${}^{\circ}K \left[({}^{\circ}S)^2 + 3 \frac{{}^{\circ}S}{{}^{\circ}l} v_2 + \frac{3}{2({}^{\circ}l)^2} (v_2)^2 \right] = 0 \quad (73)$$

which yields

$$(v_2)_{\text{crit}} = {}^{\circ}S {}^{\circ}l \left[-1 \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \right] = \begin{cases} -1.0939 \text{ (1.56 \% error)} \\ -4.0825 \text{ (0.43 \% error)} \end{cases} \quad (74)$$

Substituting (74) into (69) gives the two values of the critical load as

$$P_{\text{crit}} = \frac{\pm 2 {}^{\circ}k ({}^{\circ}S)^3 {}^{\circ}l}{9} \sqrt{3} = \pm 66.7324 \text{ (3.38 \% error)} \quad (75)$$

Approximate computation of critical points

The estimation of the first critical point following the mid-point procedure described in a previous section gives (using (70)),

$$(v_2)_{\text{crit}} = -\frac{{}^{\circ}l {}^{\circ}S}{2} = -1.2941 \text{ (16.46 \% error)} \quad (76)$$

Substituting (76) into (69) gives the approximate critical load as

$$P_{\text{crit}} = \frac{3}{8} {}^{\circ}k ({}^{\circ}S)^3 {}^{\circ}l = 65.0160 \text{ (5.87 \% error)} \quad (77)$$

The second estimation procedure is based on the approximation of the tangent stiffness singularity condition at the critical point corresponding to time t_c . Thus, we can write from (45) and (67)

$${}^t_c K_T = \left[{}^t_c k ({}^t_c S)^2 + {}^t_c \left(\frac{N}{l} \right) \right] = 0 \quad (78)$$

From Figure 5 and assuming ${}^t_c l \simeq {}^{\circ}l$, we note

$${}^t_c S = \frac{{}^{\circ}S {}^{\circ}l + v_2}{{}^{\circ}l} \quad (79)$$

Substituting this expression and (71) into (78) gives the singularity condition for the tangent stiffness in a form *identical* to (73). Neglecting the quadratic term in this expression yields

$${}^t_0 K_T \simeq {}^o K \left[({}^o S)^2 + \frac{3{}^o S}{{}^o l} v_2 \right] = 0 \quad (80)$$

and the approximate critical displacement is now

$$(v_2)_{\text{crit}} = \frac{-{}^o l {}^o S}{3} = -0.8627 \quad (22.36 \% \text{ relative error}) \quad (81)$$

The approximate critical load is obtained substituting this displacement value into (69) giving

$$P_{\text{crit}} = \frac{10}{27} {}^o k ({}^o S)^3 {}^o l = 64.2133 \quad (7.03 \% \text{ relative error}) \quad (82)$$

Note that the error in the estimation of both the critical displacement and the critical load values is slightly bigger in this case. However, it is important to point out that the first procedure requires the knowledge of two points in the equilibrium curve (i.e. the solution of a non linear system is mandatory), whereas in the second procedure only a simple linear equation is solved.

Also note that the critical load values have been estimated *from the initial unloaded configuration*. A higher precision will be obtained if the same procedure would be attempted starting from an intermediate equilibrium point. The evolution of the prediction of the critical load using this technique has been plotted in curve AC of Figure 5. It is interesting to point out that a lower bound of the critical load is obtained in all cases.

REMARK X. Note the accuracy of above approximation with respect the crude estimate provided by the solution of the standard "initial" stability problem giving

$${}^o K ({}^o S)^2 + \frac{{}^o N_{\text{crit}}}{{}^o l} = 0 \quad , i.e. \quad {}^o N_{\text{crit}} = -{}^o K {}^o l ({}^o S)^2 \quad (83)$$

$$\text{and } P_{\text{crit}} = -2 {}^o K {}^o l ({}^o S)^3 = 346.7518 \quad (402.04 \% \text{ relative error}) \quad (84)$$

The evolution of the critical load predicted using standard limit load analysis is plotted in curve BC of Figure 5.

Example 2. 3D pin-joined star structure

The geometry, loading and material properties are shown in Figure 7a.

Figure 7b shows the evolution of the load with the displacement of the central point, obtained using an *incremental secant approach*. The cost of the numerical solution in this case was identical to that of the standard Newton-Raphson solution.

Figure 7b displays also the approximation of the limit load values obtained using the classical incremental limit load analysis (curve BC) and the method based on the approximation of the tangent matrix singularity condition, as proposed in this paper (curve AC). Note the accuracy of this second procedure, even for predictions based on initial deformed configurations far from the critical value sought. Also note that curve AC provides a lower bound of the critical load values.

Further details on this example and other similar analyses of two and three dimensional pin-joined bar structures can be found in [29].

CONCLUSIONS

The formulation proposed in this paper provides a simple procedure to derive the general expression of the incremental secant stiffness matrix for non linear finite element analysis of solids and pin-joined trusses.

The symmetric form obtained emerges in a natural manner from the general non symmetric expression and it can be simply particularized for both TL and UL descriptions. The formulation proposed opens many possibilities for deriving new iterative solution strategies and some of them have been suggested in the paper. The possible merits of each one and also those of using particular non-symmetric forms of the secant stiffness matrix should be investigated in more detail.

One of the more interesting applications of the secant matrix approach could be its potential for predicting instability points in a simple manner. The methodology proposed in the paper has proved to be extremely efficient to predict very accurate lower bounds for the limit load in the two examples presented.

Results for a wide range of examples analyzed by the author's group show the same promising trend and will be shortly reported [29].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author thanks William T. Matias for many useful discussions and his help in the analysis of the second example.





Figure 7. 3D pin-jointed truss. (a) Geometry and load. (b) Load-displacement curve and limit load estimates

REFERENCES

- [1] Zienkiewicz, O.C. and Taylor, R.L., "*The finite element method*", McGraw-Hill, Vol. I (1989) and Vol. II (1991).
- [2] Bathe, K.J., "*Finite element procedures in non linear analysis*", Prentice Hall, 1982.
- [3] Geradin, M., Idselsohn, S. and Hodge, M., "Computational strategies for the solution of large non-linear problems via quasi-Newton methods", *Comp. Struct.*, **13**, 73-81, 1981.
- [4] Oñate, E., Oliver, J., Miquel, J. and Suárez, B., "Finite element formulation for geometrically non linear problems using a secant matrix", in *Computational Plasticity'86*, S. Atluri and G. Yagawa (eds.), Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [5] Oñate, E., "Possibilities of the secant stiffness matrix for non linear finite element analysis", in *Non Linear Engineering Computation*, N. Bicanic et al. (eds), Pineridge Press, 1991.
- [6] Kroplin, B., Dinkler, D. and Hillmann, J., "An energy perturbation method applied to non linear structural analysis", *Comp. Meth. Appl. Mech. Engng.* , **52**, 885-97, 1985.
- [7] Kroplin, B. and Dinkler, D. "A material law for coupled load yielding and geometric instability", *Engineering Comput.* Vol. **5**, 1988.
- [8] Duddeck, H., Kroplin, B., Dinkler, D., Hillmann, J., Wagenhuber, W., "Non linear computations in Civil Engineering Structures " (in German), DFG Colloquium, 2-3 March 1989, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [9] Kroplin, B. and Dinkler, D., "Some thoughts on consistent tangent operators in plasticity" in *Computational Plasticity* D.R.J. Owen, E. Hinton and E. Oñate (eds.), Pineridge Press/CIMNE, 1990.
- [10] Kroplin, B., Wilhelm, M. and Herrmann, M., "Unstable phenomena in sheet metal forming processes and their simulation", VDI. Berichte NR. 894, 137-52, 1991.
- [11] Kroplin, B., "Instability prediction by energy perturbation" in *Numerical Methods in Applied Sciences and Engineering*, H. Alder, J. C. Heinrich, S. Lavanchy, E. Oñate and B. Suarez (eds.), CIMNE, Barcelona, 1992.
- [12] Mallet, R. and Marcal, P., "Finite element analysis of non linear structures", *J. Struct. Div.*, ASCE, **14**, 2081-2105, 1968.
- [13] Ragasekaran, S. and Murray, D.W., "On incremental finite element matrices", *J. Struct. Div.* , ASCE, **99**, 7423-38, 1973.
- [14] Felippa, C., "Discussions on reference [13] ", *J. Struct. Div.* , ASCE, **100**, 2521-23, 1979.
- [15] Felippa, C. and Crivelli, L. A., "The core congruential formulations of geometrically non linear finite elements", in *Non Linear Computational Mechanics. The State of the Art.*, P. Wriggers and W. Wagner (eds.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [16] Wood, R.D. and Schrefler, B., "Geometrically non linear analysis -A correlation of finite element notations" *Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng.*, **12**, 635-42, 1978.
- [17] Badawi, M. and Cusens, A. R., "Symmetry of the stiffness matrices for geometrically non linear analysis", *Communications in Appl. Num. Meth.*, **8**, 135-40, 1992.

- [18] Yaghmai, S., "Incremental analysis of large deformations in mechanics of solids with applications to axisymmetric shells of revolution", Report N^o SESM 68-17, Univ. of California, Berkeley, 1968.
- [19] Larsen, P.K., "Large displacement analysis of shells of revolution including creep, plasticity and viscoplasticity", Report UC SESM 71-22, Univ. of California, Berkeley, 1971.
- [20] Bathe, K.J., Ramm, E. and Wilson, E.L., "Inestability analysis of free form shells by finite elements", *Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng.*, Vol. 9,2, pp. 353-386, 1975.
- [21] Horrigmoe, G., "Non linear finite element models in solid mechanics", Report 76-2, Norwegian Inst. Tech., Univ Trøndheim, 1970.
- [22] Mondkar, D.P. and Powell, G.H., "Finite element analysis of non linear static and dynamic response", *Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng.*, Vol. 11, 2, pp. 499-520, 1977.
- [23] Frey, F. and Cescotto, S., Some new aspects of the incremental total lagrangian description in non linear analysis in "*Finite Element in non linear Mechanics*", edited by P. Bergan et al., Tapir Publishers, Univ. of Trøndheim, 1978.
- [24] Frey, F., "L'analyse statique non lineaire des structures per le methode des elements finis et son application à la construction matallique", Ph. D. thesis, Univ. of Liege, 1978.
- [25] Malvern, L.E., "*Introduction to the Mechanics of a continuum medium*", Prentice Hall, 1969.
- [26] Pignataro, M., Rizzi, N. and Luongo, A., "*Stability, Bifurcation and Postcritical Behaviour of Structures*", Elsevier, 1991.
- [27] Simó, J.C. and Ortiz, M., "A unified approach to finite deformation elastoplastic analysis based on the use of hyperelastic constitutive equations", *Comp. Meth. in Appl. Mech. and Engng.*, 49, 221-45, 1985.
- [28] Garcia Garino, C. and Oliver, J., "A numerical model for elastoplastic large strain problems", *Computational Plasticity, Fundamentals and Applications*, D.J.R. Owen, E. Oñate and E. Hinton (eds), Vol. 1, pag. 117, Pineridge-CIMNE, 1992.
- [29] Oñate, E. and Matias, T., "Applications of the secant stiffness matrix to the prediction of structural instability points", Research Report, CIMNE, Barcelona, 1994.

APPENDIX I

VECTOR FORM OF THE FIRST AND SECOND ORDER STRAIN INCREMENTS

Let us recall the general expressions of ${}^r e_{ij}$ and ${}^r \eta_{ij}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}^r e_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} ({}^r \Delta u_{i,j} + {}^r \Delta u_{j,i} + \underbrace{{}^t u_{k,i} {}^r \Delta u_{k,j} + {}^r \Delta u_{k,i} {}^t u_{k,j}}_{= 0 \text{ for } {}^r V = {}^t V}) \\
 {}^r \eta_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} {}^r \Delta u_{k,i} {}^r \Delta u_{k,j}
 \end{aligned} \tag{I.1}$$

After some rearranging it can be written

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}^r e &= [\mathbf{L}_0 + {}^t {}_r \mathbf{L}_1 ({}^t {}_r \mathbf{g})] {}^r \mathbf{g} \\
 {}^r \eta &= \frac{1}{2} {}^r \mathbf{L}_1 ({}^r \mathbf{g}) {}^r \mathbf{g}
 \end{aligned} \tag{I.2}$$

where for 3D solids:

$$\mathbf{L}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 100 & 000 & 000 \\ 000 & 010 & 000 \\ 000 & 000 & 001 \\ 010 & 100 & 000 \\ 001 & 000 & 100 \\ 000 & 001 & 010 \end{bmatrix} \tag{I.3}$$

$${}^t {}_r \mathbf{L}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} {}^t r \mathbf{g}_1^T & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 \\ \mathbf{0}_3 & {}^t r \mathbf{g}_2^T & \mathbf{0}_3 \\ \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & {}^t r \mathbf{g}_3^T \\ {}^t r \mathbf{g}_2^T & {}^t r \mathbf{g}_1^T & \mathbf{0}_3 \\ {}^t r \mathbf{g}_3^T & \mathbf{0}_3 & {}^t r \mathbf{g}_1^T \\ \mathbf{0}_3 & {}^t r \mathbf{g}_3^T & {}^t r \mathbf{g}_2^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^t r \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{H}_1 \\ {}^t r \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{H}_2 \\ \vdots \\ {}^t r \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{H}_6 \end{bmatrix} \tag{I.4}$$

$${}^r \mathbf{L}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} {}^r \mathbf{g}_1^T & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 \\ \mathbf{0}_3 & {}^r \mathbf{g}_2^T & \mathbf{0}_3 \\ \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & {}^r \mathbf{g}_3^T \\ {}^r \mathbf{g}_2^T & {}^r \mathbf{g}_1^T & \mathbf{0}_3 \\ {}^r \mathbf{g}_3^T & \mathbf{0}_3 & {}^r \mathbf{g}_1^T \\ \mathbf{0}_3 & {}^r \mathbf{g}_3^T & {}^r \mathbf{g}_2^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^r \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{H}_1 \\ {}^r \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{H}_2 \\ \vdots \\ {}^r \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{H}_6 \end{bmatrix} \tag{I.5}$$

where

$${}^t_r\mathbf{g} = \begin{Bmatrix} {}^t_r\mathbf{g}_1 \\ {}^t_r\mathbf{g}_2 \\ {}^t_r\mathbf{g}_3 \end{Bmatrix} \quad ; \quad {}^t_r\mathbf{g} = \begin{Bmatrix} r\mathbf{g}_1 \\ r\mathbf{g}_2 \\ r\mathbf{g}_3 \end{Bmatrix} \quad (\text{I.6})$$

with

$${}^t_r\mathbf{g}_i = \frac{\partial {}^t\mathbf{u}}{\partial r x_i} \quad ; \quad r\mathbf{g}_i = \frac{\partial(\Delta\mathbf{u})}{\partial r x_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{0}_3 = [0, 0, 0] \quad (\text{I.7})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad \mathbf{H}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{H}_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad \mathbf{H}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{I}_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{H}_5 &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_3 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{I}_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad \mathbf{H}_6 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_3 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_3 & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{I}_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad \mathbf{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{I.8})$$

APPENDIX II

Prove that

$$\delta \mathbf{r} \boldsymbol{\eta}^T \mathbf{r} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{e} = \frac{1}{2} \delta (\Delta \mathbf{a})^T [\mathbf{r} \mathbf{B}_1^T \mathbf{r} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{B}_L + \mathbf{r} \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{r} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{G}] \Delta \mathbf{a} \quad (\text{II.1})$$

Proof

For simplicity in the notation let us denote

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = \mathbf{r} \boldsymbol{\eta} \quad ; \quad \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{r} \mathbf{e} \quad ; \quad \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{r} \mathbf{D} \quad (\text{II.2})$$

Let us now expand

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \boldsymbol{\eta}^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{e} &= \delta \eta_{11} (d_{11} e_{11} + d_{12} e_{22} + d_{13} e_{33}) + \delta \eta_{22} (d_{21} e_{11} + d_{22} e_{22} + d_{23} e_{33}) + \\ &+ \delta \eta_{33} (d_{31} e_{11} + d_{32} e_{22} + d_{33} e_{33}) + 2\delta \eta_{12} d_{44} 2e_{12} + 2\delta \eta_{13} d_{55} 2e_{13} + 2\delta \eta_{23} d_{66} 2e_{23} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.3})$$

The first term of the r.h.s. can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \eta_{11} (d_{11} e_{11} + d_{12} e_{22} + d_{13} e_{33}) &= d_{11} e_{11} [\Delta u_{,x} \delta \Delta u_{,x} + \Delta v_{,x} \delta \Delta v_{,x} + \\ &+ \Delta w_{,x} \delta \Delta w_{,x}] + d_{12} e_{22} [\Delta u_{,x} \delta \Delta u_{,x} + \Delta v_{,x} \delta \Delta v_{,x} + \Delta w_{,x} \delta \Delta w_{,x}] + \\ &+ d_{13} e_{33} [\Delta u_{,x} \delta \Delta u_{,x} + \Delta v_{,x} \delta \Delta v_{,x} + \Delta w_{,x} \delta \Delta w_{,x}] = \frac{1}{2} \delta \eta_{11} (d_{11} e_{11} + \\ &+ d_{12} e_{22} + d_{13} e_{33}) + \frac{1}{2} \delta \Delta \mathbf{u}_{,x}^T [d_{11} e_{11} + d_{12} e_{22} + d_{13} e_{33}] \mathbf{I}_3 \Delta \mathbf{u}_{,x} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.4})$$

The second and third terms are written in the same form as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \eta_{22} (d_{21} e_{11} + d_{22} e_{22} + d_{23} e_{33}) &= \frac{1}{2} \delta \eta_{22} (d_{21} e_{11} + d_{22} e_{22} + d_{23} e_{33}) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \delta \Delta \mathbf{u}_{,y}^T [d_{21} e_{11} + d_{22} e_{22} + d_{23} e_{33}] \mathbf{I}_3 \Delta \mathbf{u}_{,y} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.5})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \eta_{33} (d_{31} e_{11} + d_{32} e_{22} + d_{33} e_{33}) &= \frac{1}{2} \delta \eta_{33} (d_{31} e_{11} + d_{32} e_{22} + d_{33} e_{33}) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \delta \Delta \mathbf{u}_{,z}^T [d_{31} e_{11} + d_{32} e_{22} + d_{33} e_{33}] \mathbf{I}_3 \Delta \mathbf{u}_{,z} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.6})$$

Note that in (II.4)-(II.6) $(\cdot)_{,x}$, $(\cdot)_{,y}$, $(\cdot)_{,z}$, denote $(\cdot)_{,x_1}$, $(\cdot)_{,x_2}$, $(\cdot)_{,x_3}$, respectively.

Combining (II.4) - (II.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta\eta_{11}(d_{11}e_{11} + d_{12}e_{22} + d_{13}e_{33}) + \delta\eta_{22}(d_{21}e_{11} + d_{22}e_{22} + d_{23}e_{33}) + \\
& + \delta\eta_{33}(d_{31}e_{11} + d_{32}e_{22} + d_{33}e_{33}) = \frac{1}{2}\delta\eta_{11}[(d_{11}e_{11} + d_{12}e_{22} + d_{13}e_{33}) + \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\delta\eta_{22}(d_{21}e_{11} + d_{22}e_{22} + d_{23}e_{33}) + \frac{1}{2}\delta\eta_{33}(d_{31}e_{11} + d_{32}e_{22} + d_{33}e_{33})] + \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\underbrace{[\delta\Delta\mathbf{u}_{,x}^T, \delta\Delta\mathbf{u}_{,y}^T, \delta\Delta\mathbf{u}_{,z}^T]}_{\delta\mathbf{g}^T} \begin{bmatrix} A\mathbf{I}_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & B\mathbf{I}_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & C\mathbf{I}_3 \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{Bmatrix} \Delta\mathbf{u}_{,x} \\ \Delta\mathbf{u}_{,y} \\ \Delta\mathbf{u}_{,z} \end{Bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{g}} \quad (\text{II.7})
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= [d_{11}, d_{12}, d_{13}]\hat{\mathbf{e}} \quad ; \quad B = [d_{21}, d_{22}, d_{23}]\hat{\mathbf{e}} \\
C &= [d_{31}, d_{32}, d_{33}]\hat{\mathbf{e}} \quad , \quad \hat{\mathbf{e}} = \begin{Bmatrix} e_{11} \\ e_{22} \\ e_{33} \end{Bmatrix} \quad (\text{II.8})
\end{aligned}$$

Let us follow the same procedure with the tangent strains

$$\begin{aligned}
2\delta\eta_{12}d_{44}2e_{12} &= d_{44}2e_{12}[\Delta\mathbf{u}_{,x}\delta\Delta\mathbf{u}_{,y} + \Delta\mathbf{v}_{,x}\delta\Delta\mathbf{v}_{,y} + \Delta\mathbf{w}_{,x}\delta\Delta\mathbf{w}_{,y} + \delta\Delta\mathbf{u}_{,x}\Delta\mathbf{u}_{,y} + \\
& + \delta\Delta\mathbf{v}_{,x}\Delta\mathbf{v}_{,y} + \delta\Delta\mathbf{w}_{,x}\Delta\mathbf{w}_{,y}] = \delta\mathbf{g}^T \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & D\mathbf{I}_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ D\mathbf{I}_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{g} \quad (\text{II.9})
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$D = d_{44}2e_{12} \quad (\text{II.10})$$

$$2\delta\eta_{13}d_{55}2e_{13} = \delta\mathbf{g}^T \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & E\mathbf{I}_3 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ E\mathbf{I}_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{g} \quad (\text{II.11})$$

with

$$E = d_{55}2e_{13} \quad (\text{II.12})$$

and

$$2\delta\eta_{23}d_{66}2e_{23} = \delta\mathbf{g}^T \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & F\mathbf{I}_3 \\ \mathbf{0} & F\mathbf{I}_3 & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{g} \quad (\text{II.13})$$

with

$$F = d_{66}2e_{23} \quad (\text{II.14.})$$

In summary:

$$\boxed{\delta\eta^T \mathbf{D}e = \frac{1}{2}\delta\eta^T \mathbf{D}e + \frac{1}{2}\delta\eta^T \mathbf{D}e = \frac{1}{2}\delta\Delta\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{B}_1^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{B}_L \Delta\mathbf{a} + \frac{1}{2}\delta\mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{E} \mathbf{g}} \quad (\text{II.15})$$

with

$$\mathbf{E} = \begin{bmatrix} A\mathbf{I}_3 & D\mathbf{I}_3 & E\mathbf{I}_3 \\ \ddots & B\mathbf{I}_3 & F\mathbf{I}_3 \\ \text{symm.} & \ddots & C\mathbf{I}_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{II.16})$$

and

$$[A, B, C, D, E, F]^T = \mathbf{D}e \quad (\text{II.17})$$

Making $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{G}\Delta\mathbf{a}$ eq (II.1) is recovered and the proof is completed.

APPENDIX III

Prove the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_r \eta^T {}^t \mathbf{D}_r \mathbf{e} + \delta_r \mathbf{e}^T {}^t \mathbf{D}_r \eta &= \delta(\Delta \mathbf{a})^T \left[\frac{1}{2} {}^t \mathbf{B}_L^T {}^t \mathbf{D}_r \mathbf{B}_1 + \right. \\ &\left. + \alpha {}_r \mathbf{B}_1^T {}^t \mathbf{D}_r {}^t \mathbf{B}_L + (1 - \alpha) {}_r \mathbf{G}^T {}^t \mathbf{E}_r \mathbf{G} \right] \Delta \mathbf{a} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.1})$$

$$\delta_r \eta^T {}^t \mathbf{D}_r \eta = \delta(\Delta \mathbf{a})^T \left[\frac{1}{4} (2 - \beta) {}_r \mathbf{B}_1^T {}^t \mathbf{D}_r \mathbf{B}_1 + \frac{\beta}{4} {}_r \mathbf{G}^T {}^t \mathbf{H}_r \mathbf{G} \right] \Delta \mathbf{a} \quad (\text{III.2})$$

where all the relevant matrices are defined in Boxes II and III.

Proof

Let us rewrite the left hand side of eq. (II.1) as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_r \mathbf{e}^T {}^t \mathbf{D}_r \eta + \delta_r \eta^T {}^t \mathbf{D}_r \mathbf{e} &= \\ &= \delta_r \mathbf{e}^T {}^t \mathbf{D}_r \eta + \alpha \delta_r \eta^T {}^t \mathbf{D}_r \mathbf{e} + (1 - \alpha) \delta_r \eta^T {}^t \mathbf{D}_r \mathbf{e} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.3})$$

Let us now recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{e} &= {}^t \mathbf{B}_L \Delta \mathbf{a} \quad ; \quad \delta_r \mathbf{e} = {}^t \mathbf{B}_L \delta(\Delta \mathbf{a}) \\ \mathbf{r} \eta &= \frac{1}{2} {}_r \mathbf{B}_1 \Delta \mathbf{a} \quad ; \quad \delta_r \eta = {}_r \mathbf{B}_1 \delta(\Delta \mathbf{a}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.4})$$

Substituting above expressions in (III.3) and noting that $\delta_r \eta^T {}^t \mathbf{D}_r \mathbf{e} = \delta(\Delta \mathbf{a})^T {}_r \mathbf{G}^T {}^t \mathbf{E}_r \mathbf{G} \Delta \mathbf{a}$ (see Appendix II) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_r \mathbf{e}^T {}^t \mathbf{D}_r \eta + \delta_r \eta^T {}^t \mathbf{D}_r \mathbf{e} &= \\ &= \delta \Delta \mathbf{a}^T \left[\frac{1}{2} {}^t \mathbf{B}_L {}^t \mathbf{D}_r \mathbf{B}_1 + \alpha {}_r \mathbf{B}_1^T {}^t \mathbf{D}_r {}^t \mathbf{B}_L + \right. \\ &\left. + (1 - \alpha) {}_r \mathbf{G}^T {}^t \mathbf{E}_r \mathbf{G} \right] \Delta \mathbf{a} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.5})$$

and the proof of (II.1) is completed.

Let us proof now (III.2). The right hand side can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \mathbf{r}\boldsymbol{\eta}^T \mathbf{r}^t \mathbf{D} \mathbf{r}\boldsymbol{\eta} &= \frac{1}{4}(2 - \beta)\delta \mathbf{r}\boldsymbol{\eta}^T \mathbf{r}^t \mathbf{D} \mathbf{r}\boldsymbol{\eta} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}\beta\delta \mathbf{r}\boldsymbol{\eta}^T \mathbf{r}^t \mathbf{D} \mathbf{r}\boldsymbol{\eta} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.6})$$

Using (III.4) the first term of the r.h.s. of (III.6) is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}(2 - \beta)\delta \mathbf{r}\boldsymbol{\eta}^T \mathbf{r}^t \mathbf{D} \mathbf{r}\boldsymbol{\eta} &= \\ = \delta \Delta \mathbf{a}^T \left[\frac{1}{4}(2 - \beta) \mathbf{r} \mathbf{B}_1^T \mathbf{r}^t \mathbf{D} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{B}_1 \right] \Delta \mathbf{a} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.7})$$

The term $\frac{1}{4}\beta\delta \mathbf{r}\boldsymbol{\eta}^T \mathbf{r}^t \mathbf{D} \mathbf{r}\boldsymbol{\eta}$ in (III.6) will be manipulated as follows. From (15b) we can write

$$\mathbf{r}\boldsymbol{\eta} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{L}_1 \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta \mathbf{r}\boldsymbol{\eta} = \mathbf{r} \mathbf{L}_1 \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g} \quad (\text{III.6})$$

with $\mathbf{r} \mathbf{L}_1$ given by eq. (I.5).

Let us now write using (I.5)-(I.8)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \mathbf{r}\boldsymbol{\eta}^T \mathbf{r}^t \mathbf{D} \mathbf{r}\boldsymbol{\eta} &= \frac{1}{2} \delta \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}^T [\mathbf{H}_1 \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}, \dots, \mathbf{H}_6 \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}] \mathbf{r}^t \mathbf{D} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{H}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{H}_6 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \delta \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}^T [\mathbf{H}_1^T \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}, \dots, \mathbf{H}_6 \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}] \begin{bmatrix} d_{1i} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{H}_i \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g} \\ d_{2i} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{H}_i \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g} \\ \vdots \\ d_{6i} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{H}_i \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g} \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \delta \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{H}_1 \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g} (d_{1i} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{H}_i \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}) + \dots + \frac{1}{2} \delta \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{H}_6 \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g} (d_{16} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{H}_i \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \delta \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}^T (d_{1i} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{H}_i \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}) \mathbf{H}_1 \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g} + \dots + \frac{1}{2} \delta \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}^T (d_{6i} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{H}_i \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}) \mathbf{H}_6 \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.8})$$

where $d_{1i} \mathbf{H}_i = d_{11} \mathbf{H}_1 + \dots + d_{16} \mathbf{H}_6$, etc. Note also that in (III.8) $d_{ij} = \mathbf{r}^t d_{ij}$ has been taken for simplicity.

Substituting in above

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g} &= \mathbf{r} \mathbf{G} \Delta \mathbf{a} \\ \delta \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g} &= \mathbf{r} \mathbf{G} \delta(\Delta \mathbf{a}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.9})$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta \mathbf{r} \boldsymbol{\eta}^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{r} \boldsymbol{\eta} &= \frac{1}{2} \delta (\Delta \mathbf{a})^T \mathbf{r} \mathbf{G}^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^6 \sum_{j=1}^6 d_{ij} (\mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{H}_j \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}) \mathbf{H}_i \right) \mathbf{r} \mathbf{G} \Delta \mathbf{a} = \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \delta (\Delta \mathbf{a})^T \mathbf{r} \mathbf{G}^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^6 \sum_{j=1}^6 d_{ij} \mathbf{r} \eta_j \mathbf{H}_i \right) \mathbf{r} \mathbf{G} \Delta \mathbf{a} = \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \delta (\Delta \mathbf{a}^T) \mathbf{r} \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{r} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{G} \Delta \mathbf{a} \tag{III.10}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{r} \mathbf{H} &= \sum_{i=1}^6 \sum_{j=1}^6 d_{ij} \mathbf{r} \eta_j \mathbf{H}_i \\
\text{with } \mathbf{r} \eta_j &= \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{H}_j \mathbf{r} \mathbf{g} \tag{III.11}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting now (III.7) and (III.10) into (III.6) proves the equality (III.2).

APPENDIX IV

APPROXIMATE EXPRESSION OF THE TANGENT MATRIX AT THE LIMIT POINT

Let us assume a known equilibrium solution at time t with displacements ${}^t\mathbf{a}$ and stresses ${}^t\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. The limit point will occur at time $t_c = t + \Delta t_c$ for which

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{t_c}\mathbf{a} &= {}^t\mathbf{a} + \Delta\mathbf{a} \\ {}^{t_c}\boldsymbol{\sigma} &= {}^t\boldsymbol{\sigma} + \Delta\boldsymbol{\sigma} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{IV.1})$$

The displacement increments $\Delta\mathbf{a}$ will be assumed to be of the form $\Delta\mathbf{a} = \lambda\boldsymbol{\phi}$ with $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ being an estimate of the buckling pattern in the limit point. The simplest choices are $\boldsymbol{\phi} = {}^t\mathbf{a}$, $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ equal to the first eigenmode of ${}^t_r\mathbf{K}_T$ or $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ equal to the last converged displacement increment vector. For simplicity ${}^{t_c}{}_r\mathbf{D} = {}^t{}_r\mathbf{D}$ will also be assumed.

With these assumptions the stress field at the limit point can be written as (using eqs.(20)and (36))

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{t_c}\boldsymbol{\sigma} &= {}^t\boldsymbol{\sigma} + {}^t{}_r\mathbf{D}[{}^t{}_r\mathbf{B}_L({}^t\mathbf{a}) + \frac{1}{2} {}_r\mathbf{B}_1(\lambda\boldsymbol{\phi})]\lambda\boldsymbol{\phi} = \\ &= {}^t\boldsymbol{\sigma} + \lambda\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 + \lambda^2\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{IV.2})$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 = {}^t{}_r\mathbf{D} {}^t{}_r\mathbf{B}_L({}^t\mathbf{a})\boldsymbol{\phi} \quad (\text{IV.3})$$

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 = \frac{1}{2} {}^t{}_r\mathbf{D} {}_r\mathbf{B}_1(\boldsymbol{\phi})\boldsymbol{\phi} \quad (\text{IV.4})$$

The first order strain matrix at the critical point can be written as

$${}^{t_c}{}_r\mathbf{B}_L = {}^t{}_r\mathbf{B}_L({}^t\mathbf{a}) + \lambda {}_r\bar{\mathbf{B}}_1(\boldsymbol{\phi}) \quad (\text{IV.5})$$

where ${}_r\bar{\mathbf{B}}_1(\boldsymbol{\phi})$ is obtained from the expression of ${}_r\mathbf{B}_1$ given in Box II simply substituting $\Delta\mathbf{a}$ by the known predicted increment displacement vector $\boldsymbol{\phi}$. Note that when $\boldsymbol{\phi} = {}^t\mathbf{a}$ then ${}_r\mathbf{B}_1 = {}^t{}_r\mathbf{B}_{L_1}$ (see eq. (32) and Box II).

The tangent stiffness matrix at the critical point can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{t_c}{}_r\mathbf{K}_T &= {}^t{}_r\mathbf{K}_T + \lambda[{}^t{}_r\mathbf{K}_{L_2} + {}^t{}_r\mathbf{K}_{\sigma_1}] + \\ &+ \lambda^2[{}^t{}_r\mathbf{K}_{L_3} + {}^t{}_r\mathbf{K}_{\sigma_2}] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{IV.6})$$

where ${}^t{}_r\mathbf{K}_T$ is the standard tangent stiffness matrix at time t and

$${}^t_r\mathbf{K}_{L_2} = \int_{rV(\epsilon)} \left[{}^t_r\mathbf{B}_L^T {}^t_r\mathbf{D} {}^t_r\bar{\mathbf{B}}_1 + {}^t_r\bar{\mathbf{B}}_1 {}^t_r\mathbf{D} {}^t_r\mathbf{B}_L \right] \quad (\text{IV.7})$$

$${}^t_r\mathbf{K}_{L_3} = \int_{rV(\epsilon)} {}^t_r\bar{\mathbf{B}}_1^T {}^t_r\mathbf{D} {}^t_r\bar{\mathbf{B}}_1 dV \quad (\text{IV.8})$$

$${}^t_r\mathbf{K}_{\sigma_1} = \int_{rV(\epsilon)} {}^t_r\mathbf{B}_{NL}^T {}^t_r\mathbf{S}_1 {}^t_r\mathbf{B}_{NL} dV \quad (\text{IV.9})$$

$${}^t_r\mathbf{K}_{\sigma_2} = \int_{rV(\epsilon)} {}^t_r\mathbf{B}_{NL}^T {}^t_r\mathbf{S}_2 {}^t_r\mathbf{B}_{NL} dV \quad (\text{IV.10})$$

where ${}^t_r\mathbf{S}_1$ and ${}^t_r\mathbf{S}_2$ are obtained by substituting the "stresses" σ_1 and σ_2 given by (IV.3) and (IV.4) into the expression of matrix ${}^t_r\mathbf{S}$ of Box III, respectively.

The condition $|{}^t_r\mathbf{K}_T| = 0$ yields a quadratic eigenvalue problem which can be solved for λ giving an approximation of the limit point position. Obviously eq. (IV.6) can be further simplified simply neglecting the quadratic terms in λ .

The value of the critical load can be subsequently computed using the incremental secant relationship (2) as

$$\Delta \mathbf{f}_{\text{crit}} = {}^t_r\mathbf{K}_s(\lambda\phi)\lambda\phi \quad (\text{IV.11})$$

and

$$\mathbf{f}_{\text{crit}} = {}^t\mathbf{f} + \Delta \mathbf{f}_{\text{crit}} \quad (\text{IV.12})$$